New-York Daily Times.

VOL. VI....NO. 1678. NEW-YORK, WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 4, 1857. PRICE TWO CENTS.

LATEST INTELLIGENCE

By Telegraph to the New-York Daily Times.

[Supplied by Telegraph to the Associated Press, and the Evening Press.]

FROM WASHINGTON.

Mr. Sweeney and the Investigating Committee—Amount in the Treasury—The Telegraph Bill, &c.

WASHINGTON, Tuesday, Feb. 3.

J. E. SWEENEY has responded to this city and signified his readiness to the Investigating Committee to appear again before them, and suggests that those persons who are implicated by his testimony, if there are any such, and they desire it, be afforded an opportunity to cross-examine him in the presence of the Committee. Mr. SWEENEY having fairly recovered from his recent sickness will probably be brought before the Committee to-morrow.

The United States Treasurer reports the net amount subject to check in the various depositories of the United States, on the 14th ult. $9,426,[illegible].

The House Committee of Ways and Means will make a favorable report on the Senate's Submarine Telegraph bill.

The House Post-Office Committee have postponed further action on the Ocean Mail Service Bill until Thursday.

Mr. BUCHANAN left here this morning, accompanied by twenty or more of his political friends.

XXXIVth Congress---Second Session.

SENATE....WASHINGTON, Tuesday, Feb. 3.

THE PUBLIC LANDS.

Mr. WILSON introduced a bill to secure to actual settlers the alternate sections of public lands reserved in grants made the States for railroad purposes. It provides that actual settlers shall take the reserved sections, being about 11,000,000 of acres, at $2.50 per acre, in compliance with the preemption act of 1841.

The Senate went into Executive Session at 1 o'clock, Mr. MASON saying that there was an imperious necessity for doing so immediately.

The Central American treaty was debated for three hours, and the Senate adjourned without coming to any conclusion on the subject.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

WASHINGTON, Tuesday, Feb. 3.

The House proceeded to the consideration of business relative to the District of Columbia, under the special order.

Without transacting any business of the least general importance the House adjourned.

United States Supreme Court.

WASHINGTON, Monday, Feb. 2, 1857.

O. H. Platt, plaintiff in error, vs. Chauncey Jerome, defendant.—This was a motion to restore a cause on the docket, made by the attorney, who claimed to have a lien on the judgment below for costs. The Court took no further than the proper parties, to dismiss which motion parties to the record. Mr. Justice NELSON, in giving the opinion of the Court, said that the attorney was no party to the suit, nor was he in any way responsible. To permit him to control it, would be forcing the client to prosecute suit for the benefit of his attorney. Motion overruled.

Frederick Schuchard et al., plaintiffs vs. Winthrop Bulbidge et al., defendants.—This was a case in Admiralty from New-York. Sixty or seventy libels had been filed against the ship *Angelique*. Interlocutory judgments had been rendered in all, and final decrees in several. The vessel was condemned and sold for $6,500. The present libel was filed against the proceeds of sale by virtue of a mortgage. The District Court dismissed the libel, and this action was affirmed by Circuit Court. Mr. Justice NELSON delivered the opinion of the Supreme Court, holding that had the mortgagees appeared at the suit of the other libelants and shown themselves entitled, they would have recovered; but they cannot in this action against the proceeds of the sale. Judgment accordingly.

Augustus Hemenway, appellant, owner of ship Independence, vs. Wm. H. Fisher, libelant and appellee.—The facts of this case I stated in my report of its argument some days ago. Mr. Chief-Justice TANEY stated, that the Court being equally divided, no opinion would be given.

LEX.

WASHINGTON, Tuesday, Feb. 3.

James Fletcher's heirs, appellants vs. Celine Rabun, widow of Unsin Joly, et al. Argued for appellees, and submitted on a printed argument for appellants.

Case No. 68.—*John D. Wolf*, Executor, and *Maria D. L. Ronalds*, Executrix of Thomas A. Ronalds, deceased, appellants, vs. *John H. Lewis*. Argument commenced for appellants.

U. S. Court of Claims.

Pye vs. United States.—Justice SCARBOROUGH delivered an adverse report in this case, upon the facts stated in claimant's petition.

LEX.

News from Mexico.

NEW-ORLEANS, Monday, Feb. 2.

We are in receipt of Vera Cruz dates to the 20th ultimo.

It is reported that Señor Soto is about to retire from the Ministry.

Rumors were current of the outbreak of a new insurrection at Puebla, while at San Luis affairs were without change.

Masonic Meeting at Albany.

ALBANY, Tuesday, Feb. 3.

The Grand Chapter of Royal Arch Masons of the State of New-York is in session here, CHARLES E. CHURCH, of New-York, Grand High Priest, presiding. The reception of reports from various Chapters occupied the session of to-day. There is a full attendance.

Election of Mayor of Lancaster, Pa.

LANCASTER, Tuesday, Feb. 3.

At the Municipal Election to-day, Mr. ZIMMERMAN, Democrat, was elected Mayor by forty-two majority over the combined vote of his four competitors.

Reception of Mr. Peabody at the Maryland Institute.

BALTIMORE, Tuesday, Feb. 3.

Mr. PEABODY had a grand reception last night from the Mayor, City authorities and members of the Maryland Institute. Several thousand persons participated in the ceremonies, which were closed with a banquet.

Fire at Alexandria, Va.

ALEXANDRIA, Va., Tuesday, Feb. 3.

A block of frame buildings, on the corner of King and Royal streets, were destroyed by fire this morning, together with their contents. They were owned by Messrs. ARFOLD and W. E. ATWELL, and were insured in various offices.

Marine Disasters.

LOSS OF THE SCHOONER VIENNA.

SAVANNAH, Monday, Feb. 2.

The United States schooner *Vienna* has been lost. The officers and crew were saved, and have arrived here.

LOSS OF THE BRIG G. W. RUSSELL.

BOSTON, Tuesday, Feb. 3.

The ship *Marathon*, VAN DYKE, arrived at this port from New-Orleans, reports Jan. 21, fell in with the brig G. W. Russell, from Rio Grande for New-York in a sinking condition. Took off Capt. Low and crew and brought them to this port. The *Marathon* has been forty-two days North of Cape Hatteras.

Mail Robberies.

SOMERVILLE, N. J., Tuesday, Feb. 3.

The secret mail Agent, Mr. HOLBROOK, has tracked some extensive mail depredations in this locality to R. D. VAN ARSDALE, Clerk in the Somerville Post-Office.

Bank Robbery at New-Orleans.

NEW-ORLEANS, Monday, Feb. 2.

The examination of STERLINGER, the bank robber, is postponed. He confesses that $49,000 of the plunder are hid in a state room of the steamship *Cahawba*.

Philadelphia Stock Board.

PHILADELPHIA, Tuesday, Feb. 3.

Stocks firm. Pennsylvania Fives, 88¾; Reading Railroad, 40¾; Long Island Railroad, 11¼; Morris Canal, 14¼; Pennsylvania Railroad, 48¼.

Boston Weekly Bank Statement.

BOSTON, Tuesday, Feb. 3.

The footings of the Boston Weekly Bank Statement are as follows:

Capital Stock	$61,[illegible]
Loans and Discounts	[illegible]
Specie	[illegible]
Due from other Banks	[illegible]
Due to other Banks	[illegible]
Deposits	[illegible]
Circulation	[illegible]

Markets by Telegraph.

NEW-ORLEANS, Monday, Feb. 2.

COTTON has advanced ⅛c., and the market is active; the sales to-day, footing 20,000 bales at 12¼c.@12¾c. for middling. SUGAR firm at 5¾c.@10c. FLOUR is advancing—sales, today, at $[illegible] bbl. Red Wheat $1 [illegible]. Corn incorrigibly and scarce, and selling at $1 [illegible]. Mess PORK $31. Barrelled LARD, 12¼c.@12¾c. HAY $[illegible]. FREIGHTS stiffer. Exchange on London 1¾c.

NEW-ORLEANS, Tuesday, Feb. 3.

COTTON—Sales to-day, 12,000 bales, at an advance of ⅛ ₰ cent. We quote Middling at 12¾c.@12¾c. Sales 3 days of 36,000 bales. The Market closes firm at the advance. FREIGHTS—The market is dull and has a decided tendency. Water Water is selling at ¾ ₰. Cotton continues active and at advancing rates. EXCHANGE are very quiet.

CHARLESTON, Monday, Feb. 2.

COTTON—Sales to-day, 3,200 bales at advancing prices. Middling Fair quoted at 12¾c.@12¾c.

NEW-YORK CITY.

ELECTION OF CHIEF ENGINEER.

Harry Howard 312 Votes Ahead—Seventeen Companies yet to be heard from.

The triennial election for Chief Engineer of the Fire Department of this city, was held last evening. The contest was a most exciting one. The result, so far as learned from unofficial sources, appears to place the election of HARRY HOWARD beyond a doubt by a small majority—say from 100 to 200 majority. We annex the result of the ballotings of the various companies, so far as learned.

ENGINES.

No.	Howard.	Chase.	No.	Howard.	Chase.
1	25	3	23	25	2
2	18	7	24	—	—
3	—	—	25	—	—
4	7	22	26	22	2
5	8	26	27	22	28
6	56	4	28	30	7
7	—	—	29	46	—
8	20	15	30	26	10
9	11	17	31	—	—
10	2	9	32	21	1
11	20	19	33	14	18
12	—	—	34	25	6
13	9	21	35	7	21
14	56	1	36	26	11
15	9	17	37	29	20
16	9	20	38	1	27
17	21	—	39	12	5
18	13	12	40	20	6
19	23	8	41	10	6
20	11	9	42	19	12
21	9	19	43	—	—
22	4	20	44	—	28
23	14	9	45	26	—
24	40	3	46	—	—

*This Company also gave 18 votes for WALKER.

HOSE-CARTS.

No.	Howard.	Chase.	No.	Howard.	Chase.
1	17	10	9	19	—
2	6	—	10	—	—
3	16	1	11	1	18
4	7	22	12	10	5
5	14	6	13	8	5
6	20	2	14	12	5
7	5	—	15	6	6
8	—	7	16	1	5
9	4	21	17	4	14
10	13	5	18	1	3
11	2	16	19	2	14
12	2	14	20	2	17
13	6	15	21	—	—
14	30	—	22	—	—
15	19	5	23	15	5
16	23	—	24	—	—
17	18	6	25	4	—
18	14	—	26	—	14
19	1	20	27	14	—
20	—	8	28	5	11
21	13	5	29	10	10
22	9	11	30	—	—
23	9	8	31	8	—
24	13	9	32	13	8
25	—	—	33	15	—
26	10	12	34	—	—
27	10	8	35	7	15
28	1	23	36	9	11
29	1	9	37	4	4
30	8	12	38	23	—

HOOK AND LADDER.

No.	Howard.	Chase.	No.	Howard.	Chase.
1	11	6	6	—	—
2	25	9	9	9	15
3	9	27	10	9	9
4	9	26	12	10	20
5	7	4	13	1	22
8	—	28	14	—	30
11	—	—	15	24	4

BOWMEN' VOTE.

7	—

MYRIANS.

1 not in.	2 not in.
3 not in.	4 7

Later.

Just before going to press, we received the following as the result reported at the Carson Hand-quarters, but several Companies in the upper districts are still to be heard from, and the friends of Mr. Chase claim that their votes will change the present aspect, and insure his election by a very small majority:

Carson.....1,215 Howard.....1,518

With several Companies in the City, Harlem, Yorkville, Manhattanville and Carmansville to hear from.

Death of James Dewey.

The funeral of JAMES DEWEY, Inspector of Streets and Lamps, who died on Saturday, took place yesterday, from his late residence, No. 256 Delancey-street. The remains were taken to Fairfield, Conn., for interment.

Mr. DEWEY was about 40 years of age. He was very well known as an active politician of the Whig school, and represented one of the City Districts in the Assembly of 1851-2. Subsequently he kept a public house on "The Road." About two years since, having fraternized with the then powerful Know-Nothing Party, he was appointed by Mr. BURNS, Commissioner of Streets and Lamps, to the position which he occupied at the time of his death.

The Tabernacle.

The *Tabernacle* is not yet sold;—we are happy to say that the announcement was premature. It is advertised for sale, however, and will doubtless be disposed of at an early day. The congregation worshiping there waits the result of this bargain before deciding which way to head. If the present owners had consented to open its doors for promiscuous meetings, it would have netted them an immense revenue. We are sorry that it cannot be retained for just its present purpose.

Singular Surgical Case.

Monday afternoon, at 4½ o'clock, a young man by the name of RICHARD MURRAY was brought into Bellevue Hospital, having been shot by a fellow workman, JOHN MILLER, in BURGE's, in Pearl-street, near Peck-slip. The circumstances were as follows: Murray was a compositor; when he had filled his form, he bent over the printers' stone to lock it up, when MILLER exclaimed—"You d——d rascal, where shall I shoot you?" and immediately fired the pistol. Dr. JAMES R. WOOD, in whose service the patient was, made an examination of the injury. The patient was bending over when the pistol was fired, and the slugs passed just above the sinus, tearing away the rectum, bladder, &c. Dr. WOOD carried his finger through the wound to the symphysis pubis. Coroner BURNS took the ante-mortem statement, in which young MURRAY thought that his companion was ignorant of the fact of the pistol having been loaded, as he had recently borrowed it from his employer's son. We understand he is sinking rapidly.

Taking Laudanum.

Between 12 and 1 o'clock yesterday morning, Dr. SHEPARD was called to see a girl named ANN O'MARA, aged 16 years, who it appears had taken laudanum and was considered in a dying state. After great exertion the Doctor succeeded in restoring her. The cause of Miss O'MARA's rash act is not known. She resides at No. 120 East Eleventh-street.

☞ The Special Committee of the Aldermen, to whom was referred the application for the establishment of a new omnibus line, to run through Broadway, Fourteenth-street, Lexington-avenue and Irving-place, met again yesterday, at the City Library. GARRY W. SPENCER, Esq., and others, spoke in opposition to the line, contending that it was not needed. The Committee decided to hear no further argument in the matter, and promised to report upon the subject on Monday next.

☞ The ship *Webster*, which arrived yesterday from Liverpool, reports Jan. 24, that JAMES MAWSON, seaman, fell from the jib boom-end and was instantly killed. The F. was off the Hook on the night of Jan. 20, and had to heave all aback in the easterly gale with snow.

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS—Feb. 3.

Before Mr. Recorder Scott.

The Court opened for the term today. An unusually large crowd were in attendance. Recorder SCOTT took his seat on the Bench at 11 o'clock, and announced his readiness for work. Mr. Vandervoort then called the names of the Grand Jurors, as followed:

Aaron J. Blackman, Francis Hoyt, Henry Marie, Wm. C. Allen, Geo. Bunker, Wm. H. Bedford, Jas. A. Beekman, Geo. Barry, Edwd. G. Stokes, Mark Cornell, Chas. Fowler, Ralph Thompson, Sylvester Cahill, Alex. Fraser, Jos. Appleton, Patrick Crowe, Francis Godfrey, G. H. Barrian, Jr., Saml. H. Cornell, Wm. H. Hoople, Jos. B. Brewster, Duncan F. Curry, John Bowers, Martin L. Bryant, Thos. Smith, Jr.

After they had been sworn the Recorder briefly charged them.

He remarked that so many were on the jury who were necessarily absent on other duties, that he were not required to the statute to charge them that were to be convened to do so. He referred to the duties devolved upon them by the law, which, in the daily solicitude, urged that indictments should be found against delinquents of them. Calling attention to the election law, he said: They doubtless remembered that of late election frauds had been so bold and flagrant, that the police, and the chief, dangers were anticipated. Up to the present time he was not aware that a single individual had been brought to justice. These facts were known to every citizen. Wounded men staggered through the streets, injured when endeavoring to reach the ballot box to deposite their votes. They had been knocked down, stamped upon, and carried home insensible from their injuries, but not one of these charges had been punished. The law called upon him to say to them that it was their duty to inquire speedily into the violation of the election laws. The importance of inquiring into this violation was as great as any other question that could possibly be presented for their consideration. He called upon the Jury to investigate the matter. Complaints had been already made, but, probably owing to the press of other business, the indictments had not been acted on. They would take such action on this subject, he hoped, as in their judgment its magnitude and importance required. After the customary remarks on the organization and duties of Grand Jurors, the Inquest retired to their room.

The first case ready for trial was that of Mr. MICHAEL McCABE, an Irishman and a locksmith, who was charged with entering, for criminal purposes, the uncompleted house of Mr. HENRY WARREN, No. 61 West Thirty-sixth-street, in August last, and appropriating some of the property found therein to his own use. Mr. WARREN didn't know of his losses for some time, but as soon as he became aware of the 4th complained against him, he straightway turned his steps homeward. He found his house in unutterable confusion—nearly burned down—scattered and valuable wearing apparel, ladies' and gentlemen's, instruments, &c., missing, amounting in value to from 100 to 500 dollars. Some time in October, Mr. WARREN, with an officer, visited McCABE at his residence in Sixth-avenue, between Forty-second and Forty-third-streets, and found secreted in the prisoner's private trunk a remnant of fancy silk, a vest, a tie and other articles, which he recognized as his property, and for which McCABE could give no satisfactory account how they came to be in his trunk. Counsel occupied the attention of the Court in trying to show the innocence of his client, but a very ingeniously-constructed skeleton key, taken in conjunction with McC.'s avowed occupation of locksmith, influenced the minds of the Jury more powerfully than did the counsel's eloquence, and they found him guilty of the burglary. The Recorder, in pronounced his sentence, protestations of innocence, sentenced him to labor for the State at Sing Sing for three years and six months. His wife, who was in Court, betrayed her sorrow by violent sobbing.

GEORGE WALKER was then placed at the bar on a charge of manslaughter in the fourth degree, by striking ROSANNA McQUILLON, a little girl of 5 years, with a stone. GEORGE is a boy about 12 years of age, and didn't seem to realize his position before the people. He kept his mouth wide open, but didn't interest himself apparently in the trial.

The evidence showed that he was playing with other boys around a bonfire at the corner of Washington and Clarkson streets, about 9 o'clock of the evening of the 3d of last month. John Scully testified that he kindled the fire with the contents of a straw bed; that the boys were poking it with sticks; he told them to desist; not doing so he sent his little girl, about 12 years of age, for a pail of water to extinguish it; the little girl, after throwing the water on the fire, was leaving, with a stick in the hands of one of the boys; Scully, thinking it was Walker, pursued the boy and kicked him; then Walker retaliated by throwing a stone, which unfortunately missed the man and struck little ROSANNA McQUILLON on the head, causing her brain to protrude from the wound, and making a hole large enough to put three fingers in. The child lingered a week or two and then died. Dr. FINNELL deposed that he made the post mortem examination, and that her death resulted from this injury. The Recorder charged the Jury favorably to the prisoner, whose act, to his mind, was clearly committed in the heat of passion, incited by the insult received from the man, when the lad was amusing himself in a harmless way; and it was very apparent that he harbored no malice against little ROSANNA. Even if the Jury should bring in a verdict against the lad, he should consider it his duty to acquit, or at least to suspend judgment. The jury, after about five minutes' consultation, rendered a verdict of Not Guilty.

The Court then adjourned to 11 o'clock this morning.

MARINE COURT—Feb. 3.

Before Judge Maynard.

DAMAGES FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT.

Robert White vs. Samuel Thomson.—The plaintiff alleges that the defendant, on the 24 of August, 1856, entered into a contract with him, by which the latter agreed to do the plumbing work at the residence of the plaintiff, at Tubby Hook. The work and materials were to be of the best quality, and after the model of the residence of Joseph Thomson, at the same place. The plaintiff contended that the work and the materials used were inferior to what the defendant agreed upon, so much so that he had to get the defendant to do a portion of it over again, for which he had to pay some $50, besides having once paid him for the work. In a further count of action he complained that, in December last, a Mr. Martin brought a suit against him for the same plumbing work; that he informed the defendant of it, and he, defendant, promised to pay the claim of Mr. Martin, which he did not do. By this action, judgment was given against him for $56. He claimed $600 damages.

The defence set up a general denial; also, a counter claim for $500 for extra work and material, which the defendant said the plaintiff never paid him.

Verdict for plaintiff for $260.

LONG ISLAND.

The Attempted Murders in Brooklyn.

HUGH CATHERWOOD, who was stabbed in Howard's-Court, was more comfortable yesterday, and his physician, Dr. GEORGE COMBADE, is of the opinion that there is a possibility of his recovery.

PATRICK BERRIGAN, who was stabbed on Fulton-avenue, is yet alive. The assassin in this case has not been arrested, and we cannot learn that any efforts have been made to that end! Two men have stated to Coroner RENNING that they saw the attack and knew the man who used the knife. They even told him the name. This was before the Coroner had been notified of the serious character of the act, and since then these men have refused to give any information.

The Murder in Jamaica.

It remains as much a mystery as ever who was the murderer of CORNELIUS CARNER on the Hempstead Plank Road. A man is in custody in Jamaica upon suspicion, but we understand that thus far there has not been evidence to convict or even to implicate him.

The night Police in Jamaica are said to be very efficient. After a certain hour at night every person seen passing along the street is hailed, unless known, and his name and functions are ascertained. One night last week a gentleman was going home late at night, when he was hailed by one of the vigilants from behind a tree box, with "Who goes there—where have you been?" "I have been playing draw poker and dealing from the bottom," was the prompt reply. "All right," says the vigilant, "you can pass."

Consolidation.

Last Winter, Senator CYRUS P. SMITH gave notice that he should introduce a bill at this session of the State Legislature for the consolidation of Brooklyn and New-York. Has anybody seen the bill yet? Does anybody know the particulars of the scheme? Is it like the partnership of the wolf and the lamb, or will it be an honest give and take? There is a great deal of feeling on the subject, particularly in Brooklyn, and the sentiment of the people is divided. That it would improve the value of Brooklyn property nobody doubts, but that increased taxes would follow, also, is as little doubted. That it would settle tedious points in litigation, bring questions of the water line to an issue, and all that, nobody doubts.

But, wouldn't it reduce all Brooklyn to the condition of a suburb, a sort of Twelfth Ward or Yorkville? The two cities are essentially one in interest, yet wouldn't the interest of each portion be better subserved by keeping their separate corporations? Back in passing ordinances permanently to help Gotham at the expense of its neighbor. If united, that would be stopped. But then, if united, the respect of New-York would have to be interested as the citizens of Brooklyn. It is really a serious and complicated study, a question for long-headed statesmen to debate, and whether consolidation would or would not be a blessing. Meanwhile, where is Senator SMITH's bill?

Brooklyn Police.

A minority report of the Police Committee of the Brooklyn Common Council was adopted on Monday evening last by a vote of 19 to 16, reducing the force of the men to 150, and the pay from $1 75 per day to $1 50.

The following resolution was submitted and referred to the Police Committee:

Week.	Times Elected. Force.	Pay.	Week.	Times Rejected. Force.	Pay.
I	13	12	XI	17	12
II	16	12	XII	16	10
III	16	12	XIII	16	14
IV	13	12	XIV	16	10
V	13	12	XV	17	10
VI	13	12	XVI	16	9
VII	13	16	XVII	19	8
VIII	13	9	XVIII	19	8
IX	16	10	XIX	19	8
X	20	12			

The vote on the minority report of the Police Committee, reducing the force and pay, stood as follows:

AYES—Aldermen Rowles, Power, Pierce, Del Vecchio, Van Brunt, Hudson, Cashow, Walsh, Wagner, Wilson, Snyder, Preston, Ralphs, Walters, Palmer, Bellamy, Bayldon—16.

NAYS—Aldermen Cashow, Russell, O'Neil, Dodge, Doherty, Clarke, Bogert, Oliver, Shaw, Fishkin, Vail, Bennett, Mathews, Smith, Chase—16.

Commissioners of Deeds.

The following additional appointments have been made in the City of Brooklyn for the present week:

E. Van Nostrand,	E. Edwards,	James Hanford,
John D. Nostrand,	Wm. E. Ackley,	W. S. Austin,
J. K. Baker,	H. C. Beebee,	Geo. S. Reynolds,
John D. Mosch,	J. A. Nevins,	Jas. Carr,
Meredith Bridge,	Wm. Cornelyes,	E. Rankin,
E. A. Johnson,	Henry Payson,	A. B. Capelli,
Jas. T. Lewis,	J. Davenport,	E. E. Brophy,
T. B. Tousland,	A. K. Miller,	G. W. Edwards.

A Brooklyn Police Justice.

The following communication was presented to the Brooklyn Common Council Monday evening last, and was referred to the Counsellor. It speaks for itself:

To the Honorable the Common Council of the City of Brooklyn:

The petition of EDWARD McGEHAN humbly sheweth that on Friday, the 23d instant, petitioner went to Justice CURRIE's office, City Hall, to inquire if I could pay a fine on a young man whose friends had him committed by Mr. CURRIE on the previous Wednesday for indecently exposed. I was told by Officer WILLIAMS from Justice CURRIE to pay the money there, the fine and committal being $7 50. I went there and got the money and paid Officer ANDR. GARNAW $8 in bills, who returned to me 50 cents change, saying the Justice told him it did not require an order. As he was going home shortly and lived near, he would send and have him released.

The young man not being forthcoming on Saturday morning, I waited in Court till the Justice came in, who told me he gave the money to a person whose name I forget in the Ninth Ward Station-house, to have him released. I went to the Penitentiary at 11 o'clock, and found by the Clerk that no money or order of release had come there. I returned and told Mr. CURRIE, who told me he gave the money to a man whose name I forget, to go and release him, and he was going up in two hours, and I could go up with him, and he would release him. Without going into detail, I was detained all evening; when I asked him for a definite answer, he told me not to bother him, for he had given Constable PRICE, of the Fifth Ward, orders for a release. I could not find him then, but when found, PRICE told me he got no orders or money from CURRIE. I proceeded to the Penitentiary and paid the $7 50 over again, and got him out after lock-up on Saturday evening, 24th.

McGEHAN continues, that subsequently he went to Justice CURRIE and told him it was a hard case to be compelled to pay him for the money he paid him, whereupon the Justice fined him $10 for contempt. He was finally released without paying the fine. Finally he asks the Common Council to take some action in the premises. (Signed.)

EDWARD McGEHAN, No. 56 Stanton-street.

Another Gas Company.

A Gas Company has been organized in Brooklyn, and have offered to supply the city at a price not to exceed $2 50 per 1,000 cubic feet. The price paid the Brooklyn Gas-light Company is $2 50, besides which they have the use of some $50,000 of the people's money.

Personal.

On Monday morning, Rev. SETH BLISS and his wife were knocked down and run over by a runaway horse and pung, on Court-street, in Boston. They were taken to their residence at the Coledge House, in Bowdoin-square, attended by physicians. Mr. BLISS is over 60 years of age, and has been the Secretary of the American Tract Society at Boston since 1823. At the last accounts both he and his wife were slowly improving from the results of the accident, and it is to be hoped that they will soon regain their health.

Dr. THOS. C. DUHAMEL, PHELPS, DODGE & Co., SEAFORD, TILESTON & Co., all of New-York, have each contributed liberally to the Dudley Observatory.

Mr. SHELLABER (Mrs. Partington) lost a favorite daughter by scarlet fever, on Thursday.

Obituary.

The Pensacola *Times* announces the sudden death, on the 16th inst., of Hon. WALKER ANDERSON, a native of Virginia, but for many years a resident of Florida, and one of its most prominent and distinguished citizens, for a time filling the office of Chief Justice of the Supreme Court.

Texas Kansas—Test Oaths—A Railroad to Texas.

Correspondence of the Missouri Democrat.

LEXINGTON, Friday, Jan. 23, 1857.

A bill passed the Council on Wednesday, repealing the test oaths imposed by law at the last session.

Four or five members spoke, declaring that they would vote for repeal through policy—otherwise a State Constitution recognizing Slavery could not be got through Congress. The vote was unanimous in the same body that enacted them unanimously last year. The members of the Council state that it was no concession to the Free-Soilers of the Territory, to whom they declared endless hostility.

The repeal of these test oaths, although a just measure, is too late to be of value to the people of the Territory. All of the counties will be organized, and county officers, holding office for several years, appointed by this body before it goes into force.

A bill has just passed the Council, granting a charter for a road from this place to Galveston in Texas. The charter as it stands, grants a right of way one hundred feet wide throughout the route. The route would be over six hundred miles long, and four-fifths of the road would be an Indian wild. Of course, it is for the present at least, a chimera, but it means to be determined. The capital stock by charter is five millions of dollars. It is to be called the Great Central Railroad. The original corporators are four Members of the Council, one Member of the House, Secretary WOODSON of the Territory, and one or two others.

This measure is intended to open a Southern outlet, and render Kansas independent of the States and routes east of this. It is more of a political than a money speculation.

☞ SHOCKING BRUTALITY.—We are informed that a family—man and wife and several children—moved into this city on Saturday last, and the weather not driving them to put up their stove, they neglected to do it. But instead, being intemperate people, both man and woman went to drinking till they got intoxicated—and their children of course neglected. The next day—Sunday—was the terrible cold day of the season, prior to that of yesterday—and the neighbors hearing what to them seemed to be the voice of suffering, went in during the day, and found a most pitiable sight. The youngest child, an infant, was found frozen to death! and the others suffering terribly from the cold. The criminal parents had their carousal, but at the expense of the life of their child. Their drunken revelry had sent a soul out of the world, and left an indelible pang within their hearts that, unless they are brutes, indeed, will cling to them while life lasts! The neighbors made the little ones as comfortable as possible, and the sympathy of all who heard the tale were enlisted in their behalf.—*Eastern (Me.) Argus.*

SUPPOSED MURDER.—A letter postmarked Mountville, Pa., states that the body of an unknown man, apparently 50 to 60 years of age, was found lying on the turnpike road between Columbia and Marietta, on the morning of the 26th of January, with his throat cut from ear to ear. No knife was found about him, and it is supposed that he was murdered. Sundry papers were scattered about, but none that would lead to an identity, except the envelope of a letter postmarked Baltimore, and directed to SAMUEL M. MACKY, Stammer's Run, Baltimore county, Md. The body had on dark clothing and black hat.—*Baltimore Sun.*

COMMERCIAL AFFAIRS.

Sales at the Stock Exchange, Feb. 3.

$5,000 Ohio 6s, '86	[illegible]	100	Reading R.	[illegible]	
1,000 Illinois Cent. Bs	[illegible]	100	do	[illegible]	
1,000 do	[illegible]	100	do	[illegible]	
1,000 Mich. State 6s	[illegible]	100	do	[illegible]	
1,000 Mich. Pt. Lst m. 6s	[illegible]	100	do	[illegible]	
17,000 Ill. Cent. RR. Bs	100	50	do	[illegible]	M. S.
200 Erie Railroad	40 61¾	100	do	[illegible]	
200 do	61¾	100	do	[illegible]	
400 do	61¾	100	do	[illegible]	
100 do	61¾	200	Hartem Railroad	[illegible]	
200 Pacific R. R.	93¾	100	do	[illegible]	
100 N. Y. Cent. R. R.	97¾	50	Pacific Railroad	[illegible]	
100 Hud. Riv. R. R.	69¾	100	Ill. Cent. R. R.	[illegible]	
100 do	69¾	100	do	[illegible]	
100 Ill. Cent. R. R.	89¾	100	Clev. & Pitts. R. R.	[illegible]	
100 do	89¾	50	C. C. C. & I. R. R.	[illegible]	
100 Mich. Cent. R. R.	88	100	do	[illegible]	
50 do	88¼	100	do	[illegible]	
100 do	88¼	100	Clev. & Tol. RR.	[illegible]	
100 do	88¼	100	do	[illegible]	
100 do	88¼	100	do	[illegible]	
100 do	88¼	100	do

LETTERS FROM ALBANY.

[illegible]

The Quarantine Question.

NEW-YORK, Saturday, Jan. 31, 1857.

To the Editor of the New-York Daily Times:

SIR: In your issue of to-day I observe an article upon the subject of the Quarantine, denominated a "very Blackbirdish bequest." Whether it is in reality of any importance, is a very different matter. New-York has already obtained from New-Jersey, Northern Ohio, and Sullivan County, its share of Staten Island, and Jacksonville, Indiana, seems to be kindly relieving us, along with the generous proprietors of their rich and peculiar neighbor, which certainly has some of their "accumulated" weeds.

Upon what grounds, however, do the New-Yorkers base their "reasonable request?" First—That the Quarantine should be removed from its present position, as a locality in the vicinity of the City. Second—That no locality is better fitted for the reception of such a nuisance than our Gallows Rock. This I deny; first, because the testimony of the public shows that Gravesend Bay is a much safer anchorage ground, for the reason that it is better protected from easterly storms, which at Gallows Rock, sweeping across the low peninsula, would drive every vessel ashore. And in the second place, the myriads of mosquitoes which infest this place during the warm months would constitute—if there were none other—a fatal objection. But why should New-York seek a place without her borders, when she has several within? What objection can there be to Gravesend Bay?

It has sufficient depth of water, well protected, and were the Hospital grounds surrounded by a wall and approached only by water, there would be no fear of spreading any infection to Brooklyn; while the hundreds of persons who for several months in the year are constantly going between New-York and the Branch, and are compelled to pass close to the shores of the Rock, would, if it were located there, be sure to carry infection to the City. But should an insulated position be deemed preferable to that at Gravesend, the Kills, at a comparatively trifling expense, might be rendered perhaps the most desirable location, while it would be protected from the swell of the ocean by the East Bank, Dry Sewer and Flynn's Knoll. It is not, for New-Jersey, however, to designate where the Quarantine should be located; it is sufficient for her to say that she has too much regard for the health and welfare of her citizens to permit this pest-house to be located within her borders, at the instance of a few speculating Staten Islanders.

D. H.

The Merchants and Overpaid Duties.

To the Editor of the New-York Daily Times:

We notice in a Washington paper of Friday the following paragraph, in a report of the proceedings of the House of Representatives:

"Mr. Bennett, of New-Jersey, from the Committee of Claims, made adverse reports on the Senate bills from the Court of Claims for the relief of Edmund Fanning, and for the relief of Swenson, Dexter & Co., merchants of New-York, and on House bills from the Court of Claims for the relief of Hunter and Francis W. Meyer, merchants of the City of New-York, and for the relief of James Beaver's personal representatives; and the said bills were laid on the table."

As Mr. Bennett is a retired merchant of respectability and intelligence, we hope that he did concur in the report of the Committee of Claims. In plain English, the report of the Committee is a refusal to refund moneys stolen from New-York merchants by the Treasury of the United States. Every form of forcible dishonest appropriation in the eye of Christian morals is a violation of the Eighth Commandment; and money illegally exacted in the first instance, and withheld from its owners on demand, is money stolen.

In the cases above enumerated, the Collector has exacted illegal duties, either by taking a larger rate than the law allows, or by taking duty on goods not imported into the country, and, therefore, not liable to pay duty. The fact is not denied—it is proved by statements from the Treasury itself.

On what ground then does our Government, with a redundant treasury, refuse to restore to the people these ill-gotten gains? And how do the cases thus summarily disposed of come before the House?

In the first place, with a confession from the Treasury Department, that the money was illegally exacted, and a recommendation that it be refunded.

See the following extract from a Report of the Secretary of the Treasury on the State of the Finances," dated Jan. 15, 1853, 32d Congress, Second Session. Senate Ex. Doc. No. 22, p. 19:

"The Sag Harbor Coast, in the case of Lawrence vs. Gazzelle, decided that our rebate of duties could be claimed by parties who had not, at the time of making the entry, entered a written protest declaring specially the grounds on which they objected to pay the duties; and the Department has felt itself bound by that decision, and has since declined to refund any duties alleged to be illegally assessed, except in cases where such written protest was made at the time, or where it evidently arose from a clerical error. Vexatious lawsuits have, in many cases, where suit for the same parties testing a certain entries made a regular protest, have received back the duties erroneously assessed, agreeably to legal decisions; while in other cases, equally similar in every respect, but where the formality of a protest was not observed, no return can be made under the above decision of the Supreme Court. I would recommend those cases to the favorable action of Congress, by intrusting to the Treasury Department the power to refund duties in all cases which may be similar to those on which the Supreme Court may have made decisions in favor of the claimants, even if no protest had been made at the time by the parties."

This recommendation was followed by a very elaborate and thorough investigation of all this class of cases, on repeated arguments, by the Court of Claims. The Court saw no reason why the Treasury should not refund moneys which its officers had taken from the people without law. They said it was neither honest nor lawful for the Treasury to keep money so obtained, and reported bills for its restitution, without interest.

Those bills were passed by the Senate. Restitution is then recommended by: 1. The Treasury Department, under Mr. Corwin. 2. Court of Claims. 3. Senate. It is opposed by Mr. Guthrie (who has paid $228,000 of just such claims during the last year) and the Committee of Claims, who think it will for the Treasury, like a highwayman, to get what it can and keep what it gets.

In our judgment, nothing can be clearer that that when the United States, on its own showing, becomes possessed of the money of an individual, without law, it should make restitution with interest, as the smallest measure of justice. Any different policy is immoral and iniquitous. We hope that the House will not concur in the report of its Committee, but will make restitution to the claimants of money the Treasury has taken without law and so long unjustly withheld.

We will add, that if judgments of the Court of Claims, thus fortified by the admission of the Treasury Department, and the concurring report of the Senate, are to go before a Committee of the House, as a tribunal of last resort, the Court might as well be abolished as an useless extravagance on our system. The only result of its establishment thus far has been to interpose another difficulty to the suitor seeking redress from his Government.

JUS.

LIVING UP TO RULES.—Mr. McCallum, Superintendent of the Erie Railroad, is a strict construction Democrat and across the Continent, he distinguished a conductor for passing the family of a director who had a pass, as the conductor knew, but had left it at home. Soon after, the same Pass came to Mr. McCallum took the case himself, and being asked by another conductor, who had the pass of the latter break to his memory, for his guidance, even for 1856; the conductor refused to recommend the man, though the Superintendent acquitted and said it was "all right," the conductor would not yield, but sounds him pay his full fare, and we trust the five cents extra for not buying his ticket. Mr. McCallum will probably not venture abroad again without having his pass up to time.—*Journal of Commerce.*

The Election of Comptroller.

NEW-YORK, Saturday, Jan. 31, 1857.

To the Editor of the New-York Daily Times:

SIR: In your issue of to-day I observe an article upon the subject of the Quarantine, denominated a "very Blackbirdish bequest." Whether it is in reality of any importance, is a very different matter. New-York has already obtained from New-Jersey, Northern Ohio, and Sullivan County, its share of Staten Island, and Jacksonville, Indiana, seems to be kindly relieving us, along with the generous proprietors of their rich and peculiar neighbor, which certainly has some of their accumulated weeds they are accustomed to "accumulate."

Upon what grounds, however, do the New-Yorkers base their "reasonable request?" First—That the Quarantine should be removed from its present position, as a locality in the vicinity of the City. Second—That no locality is better fitted for the reception of such a nuisance than our Gallows Rock. This I deny; first, because the testimony of the public shows that Gravesend Bay is a much safer anchorage ground, for the reason that it is better protected from easterly storms, which at Gallows Rock, sweeping across the low peninsula, would drive every vessel ashore. And in the second place, the myriads of mosquitoes which infest this place during the warm months would constitute—if there were none other—a fatal objection. But why should New-York seek a place without her borders, when she has several within? What objection can there be to Gravesend Bay.

LAW INTELLIGENCE.

COURT CALENDAR—WEDNESDAY, Feb. 4.

SUPREME COURT—*Circuit.*—Nos. 1503, 583, 589½, 3, 329, 124, 320, 571, 573, 577, 579, 581, 583, 585, 587, 591, 593, 595, 597, 599.

COMMON PLEAS—*Part I.*—Nos. 599 to 619, 621, 622, 206, 120, 114. *Part II.*—Nos. 77, 154, 141, 18, 34, 272, 178, 224, 248, 250, 251, 252, 253, 122, 224.

SUPERIOR COURT—Nos. 218, 1566, 712, 583, 585, 589, 589½, 591, 549, 545, 547, 549, 551, 553, 555, 557, 559, 561, 563, 565, 567, 572, 590, 795.

SUPREME COURT—SPECIAL TERM—Feb. 3.

Before Hon. Justice Clerke.

MOTIONS.

Gold vs. Carroll.—Motion denied without costs.

Hoppack vs. Cockrell.—Motion denied with $5 costs.

Before Hon. Justice Peabody.

Sugham vs. McKeon.—The inquest must be set aside without stipulation of defendant as to his defence.

Cornell vs. Collingwood.—Motion to set aside complaint granted, unless plaintiff within ten days set forth by separate complaints the original supplemental matter, which cannot be set forth together in any complaint as in this complaint.

Bertell vs. Stout.—Motion for commission granted. Proceedings stayed until return of commission within 20 days.

Hall vs. Colt.—Default opened and answer must be made definite and certain. No costs to either party.

Wapshof vs. Weed.—Motion granted.

Sears vs. Tyler.—Motion denied without costs and without prejudice.

Chatham Bank vs. St. John.—Motion granted.

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS—TRIAL TERM.

Before Judge Daly.

Elias Combs vs. Horace Greeley and Thomas McElrath.—The Jury in this case brought in a verdict for the plaintiff for $25.

SPECIAL TERM.

Before Judge Brady.

The Fire Department of the City of New-York vs. John Hanrahan.—Judgment for the plaintiffs for $600 and costs.

John Collerbery, &c., vs. Henry Peters.—Motion to confirm report of referee granted.

James B. Powers, &c., vs. Frederick Wahlers, &c.—Referred to Sl. R. Cummings, Esq.

Nazareth B. Taylor vs. Abraham B. Miller.—Referred to Marcus C. Riggs, Esq.

MARINE COURT.

Before Judge McCarthy.

Hector Courtois vs. Horatio L. Carr and Spencer W. Cone.—This was an action brought against the defendants as sureties of one Harrison, the Treasurer of the Young-Men's Union Democratic Club, for rent incurred by the Club for rooms occupied by it at No. 765 Broadway. The Treasurer, Mr. Harrison, promised to pay the rent, and the defendants offered themselves as securities for the payment. The rent due was for two quarters and two months besides, and was incurred over two years ago.

The defendants' counsel argued that his clients were not liable for the amount according to a decision in 5 Hill, under the act of the Legislature, which forbids the contribution of any money for promoting the success of any political candidate except to be expended in printing tickets, getting voters to the polls, etc. In that case the "Log Cabin" in Broadway was about to be pulled down when a gentleman came to the proprietor that he would give $1,000 if the Whig flag should not be lowered. The proprietor left the Cabin standing and sued the gentleman for the amount. The Court dismissed the action and decided that even the contribution of money for the rent of a room for political purposes was illegal.

In accordance with this decision, Judge McCARTHY dismissed the complaint, awarding costs to the defendants.

THE ARRESTED FILLIBUSTERS.

Cross-Examination of the First Witness Concluded—Democratic Principles—Effect of the Panama Fever on the Constitution.

The examination of Ex-Consul Fabens and Henry Boulton, the alleged fillibusters, charged with assisting in enlisting recruits for General Walker, was resumed at 12 M. yesterday before Commissioner MORRIS. There was some little improvement on the accommodations of the previous day, by the introduction of a few wooden benches for spectators to sit upon, and the addition of a side-table for the convenience of counsel.

Robert Fuller was called to the stand, and his cross-examination resumed by Judge DRAKE, as follows:

Q.—You say you signed your name in the book kept at the Nicaragua Agency. What induced you to sign your name? A.—It was a part of my plan; I was told that the names of all deciding to go to Nicaragua were put in the book, and that the signing of the name was required before a ticket would be given; I did not examine what was at the head of the names; two parties signed their names in the book before I did.

Q.—When you told Mr. Boulton you was going to Nicaragua, did you not know you was lying to him? A.—Of course I was lying; it was a part of my plan, I tell you again.

Q.—Was you ill when you made the affidavit upon which the arrests were made? A.—No, Sir; as can help me up; I came to the District Attorney's office in a carriage; the Brown accompanied me.

Mr. Blankman here called attention to the fact of the presence of the wife of Mr. Brown, the other complainant, who was in the case, and asked that he be excluded from the room.

Mr. McKeon—I object; there being no necessity for the exclusion, but we will consent to grant it to avoid unpleasant remarks.

Mr. Blankman—Well, I shall, by Jesus Christ, Mr. Marshal Thompson, put the witness out of the room.

Q.—Did you go to the office of the District Attorney?

Q.—Was you not in the room with the District Attorney? A.—Yes, Sir; I don't know what time it was.

Q.—Was you not in jail? A.—I was in the jail, was you not? A.—Yes, Sir.

Q.—Was you not in jail? A.—I was in the jail.

Q.—Was you not Mr. Wm. Walker? A.—I have been called so.

Q.—Did you not make complaints against Colonel Fabens? A.—No, Sir.

Q.—Have you not made complaints against Colonel Fabens? A.—No, Sir.

Q.—Did you not say you came to make an affidavit that Colonel Fabens enlisted you to go as a recruit to Nicaragua? A.—No, Sir.

Mr. McKeon—The witness never made such an affidavit.

Judge Drake—I have the affidavit before me. (He read a copy of a newspaper and reading the alleged affidavit therefrom.)

Witness—I shall go by no newspaper statement.

Q.—Did you not swear to such an affidavit as the one just read? A.—I want to see the original papers.

Q.—Did you see Col. Fabens on the 26th of last month? A.—No, Sir.

Q.—Did you see Alexander C. Lawrence on that day? A.—I decline answering to such questions.

Mr. Blankman—Here is the original affidavit the witness called for, Mr. Morris proceeded to read the affidavit, in which Col. Fabens and Alexander C. Lawrence were stated as having hired and retained the witness to enter himself to go beyond the jurisdiction of the United States to Greytown and enlist in the service of Gen. Walker. Showing the original affidavit as read to the witness, he asked whether the signature thereto was his. A.—It is.

Q.—You have said that you did not know Alexander C. Lawrence—how did you, then, come to make complaint against him? A.—I always supposed that gentleman (pointing to Mr. Boulton) was, Mr. Lawrence, and so made my affidavit.

Mr. Campbell—I don't see, if this is so, why we ought not to move the immediate discharge of Mr. Lawrence.

Mr. McKeon—There are other witnesses against Mr. Lawrence, and it will be time to attend to that when the time comes.

Judge Drake—But the witness confesses that he has made a mistake as to Mr. Lawrence. It is the Commissioner's duty to discharge him at once.

Mr. Blankman—I move his release from bail.

Commissioner—I cannot entertain the motion—Mr. Lawrence not being at present on trial.

Q.—Did Col. Fabens ever hire you to go to Nicaragua? A.—He has done so through the public papers; from what I had seen in the papers, I was induced to go and see Col. Fabens.

Q.—Why then did you not speak to Col. Fabens when you saw him? A.—He was busy writing, I told you; from what he said about the pen, I should say that he was a smart-spoken man; I should have spoken to him, but Mr. Boulton answered my purpose; I did not see Col. Fabens but once at the Nicaragua office.

Q.—You intended to make no engagement to go to Nicaragua, did you? A.—I only designed to make them believe I intended going; one of my objects was to get men to go to work on the Honduras Railroad.

Q.—Then your object was not wholly philanthropic, as stated in your direct examination? A.—Not entirely so.

Q.—What do you know about men that have gone to work on the Panama Railroad? A.—About 500 Irishmen went to work at the commencement of the road; at the end of six months there were not five of the Irishmen alive. [Laughter.]

Q.—You want to get men to work on the Honduras Railroad, do you? A.—Yes, Sir; I expect to get an appointment for this work; Mr. Miller showed me a letter from the President of the road, stating that he wanted men engaged to go to Honduras.

Q.—Are not laborers who go to Honduras liable to die on about as fast as recruits would get killed under General Walker? A.—They must get well acclimated, then they won't die unless they catch the fever. [Laughter.]

Q.—You have had a pretty liberal education, have you not? A.—I have studied Greek and Latin, and understand Spanish and Portuguese.

Q.—You know the roots of words, do you not? A.—As well as you do, I will warrant.

Q.—What is the meaning of the word instructed? A.—I should judge from the looks of your eye you could tell what the word means without asking me. [Laughter, in which the witness joined.]

Q.—None of your impertinence; I want plain answers. A.—I am stating plain facts; if you want the matter elucidated, I will inform you that there are several grades of inebriety; there is getting "tight," there is getting "muddy," and there is getting "gloriously drunk."

Q.—You have a good memory, I suppose? A.—I have; I can swear to it.

Q.—When was you first at the Marshal's office? A.—I was there after my arrest last Saturday; I was there also yesterday; I have received two subpoenas to attend before a Commissioner.

Q.—Have you not received any pay thus far for appearing in this case? A.—No, Sir; I expect no pay; I have not thought of pay beyond the fees of witnesses.

Q.—Have you not demanded any fees for appearing as a witness in the present case? A.—I asked for fifty cents, supposing I was entitled to that sum. The man told me I would get my pay when the trial ended. The officer who subpoenaed me told me to apply at the Marshal's office.

Q.—How many times did you apply for your pay? A.—But once.

Q.—Did you ever ask any one about the Marshal's office to discount your dues as a witness? A.—No, Sir.

Mr. McKeon—If you will show anything of this character going on in the Marshal's office, I will give you something.

Mr. Blankman—Don't be too anxious; this fact may come out before the close of the examination.

Q.—Did you not try to borrow some money of one of the Deputy Marshals? A.—I wanted to get money to ride home with, as it was very slippery.

Q.—Did you have the same clothes when you first came to the District Attorney's office that you wear at present? A.—I had, Sir.

Q.—Had you been drinking anything the day you first called at the Nicaragua Agency office? A.—Not much; Brown treated me once.

Q.—When you went up to the Tennessee, had you been drinking anything? A.—I took a gin-cocktail during the morning; I was not intoxicated, I am certain of that; I went to the steamer with the ticket in my possession; my object in going was to inform against the fillibusters; I did not have to hold up to the railing or bulwarks; I could walk as straight as I can now; I related out Mr. Boulton to Mr. Thompson, the Deputy-Marshal.

Q.—When you asked Mr. Boulton about giving you a position in Gen. Walker's army, had you any intention of going to Nicaragua? A.—No, Sir; I would not be in Gen. Walker's shoes to-day.

Q.—It was all a lie, was it, that you said to Mr. Boulton about taking sixteen men to Nicaragua? A.—It was.

Q.—Do you know whether or not Mr. Boulton was not lying to you? A.—I don't think he was; I got out of him all I wanted to.

Q.—Did you ask Mr. Boulton if you could not take your rifle with you? A.—I did; he told me I might, but must not carry it in my hands on board the steamer; there were plenty of arms he said in Nicaragua.

Q.—Do you call yourself an informer? A.—Not in the precise meaning of the term. I have not been employed to give information, but have given my information when found it; I think I have acted honorably in the present matter.

Q.—Was you never called informer in the Old Country? A.—No, Sir; I was born in Killarney, Ireland, and left there till I was fifteen years old, when I went to England; at the age of twenty-three I went as cadet in the army to Portugal.

Q.—There is a prison, is there not, in Killarney? A.—Yes, Sir; and a college; I was in the college.

Q.—Was you ever in prison? A.—Yes, Sir, in Harlage-street Jail.

Q.—Was you never in any other prison? A.—Not; I left on choice I was put into the station-house in Thirty-ninth or Thirty-fifth street; I was taken up drunk from the bar.

Q.—Was you not in the Tombs? A.—Yes, Sir; I was there a week.

Q.—Was you not there for a crime? A.—Yes, Sir; I was there for a crime.

Q.—What crime was you there imprisoned for? A.—I was there for the Kossuth fever, and was sent for the crime I lived and thought I was in No. 365 and 367 Grand-street; I can't say how much I have collected; two or three gentlemen gave me the rent, which I pay; sent to Mr. Lyons; they are Dutch families; two single young men pay me $8 a month.

Q.—What was your percentage on this amount? A.—It never have charged anything; I occupy one room on the fourth floor; I have a wife and one child; I have lived there about a year, and have only paid two months rent.

Q.—Did you ever speak to Colonel Fabens? A.—No, sir; he saluted me when I went to the Nicaragua Agency; he was present when I was talking with Mr. Boulton; at the time he was engaged in writing, but said nothing.

Q.—Did you not make complaints against Colonel Fabens? A.—No, Sir.

Q.—Have you not come to make an affidavit that Colonel Fabens enlisted you to go as a recruit to Nicaragua? A.—No, Sir.

Mr. McKeon—The witness never made such an affidavit.

Judge Drake—I have the affidavit before me. (He read a copy of a newspaper and reading the alleged affidavit therefrom.)

Witness—I shall go by no newspaper statement.

Q.—Did you not swear to such an affidavit as the one just read? A.—I want to see the original papers.

Q.—Did you see Col. Fabens on the 26th of last month? A.—No, Sir.

Q.—Did you see Alexander C. Lawrence on that day? A.—I decline answering to such questions.

Mr. Blankman—Here is the original affidavit the witness called for, Mr. Morris proceeded to read the affidavit, in which Col. Fabens and Alexander C. Lawrence were stated as having hired and retained the witness to enter himself to go beyond the jurisdiction of the United States to Greytown and enlist in the service of Gen. Walker. Showing the original affidavit as read to the witness, he asked whether the signature thereto was his. A.—It is.

Q.—You have said that you did not know Alexander C. Lawrence—how did you, then, come to make complaint against him? A.—I always supposed that gentleman (pointing to Mr. Boulton) was, Mr. Lawrence, and so made my affidavit.

Mr. Campbell—I don't see, if this is so, why we ought not to move the immediate discharge of Mr. Lawrence.

Mr. McKeon—There are other witnesses against Mr. Lawrence, and it will be time to attend to that when the time comes.

Judge Drake—But the witness confesses that he has made a mistake as to Mr. Lawrence. It is the Commissioner's duty to discharge him at once.

Mr. Blankman—I move his release from bail.

Commissioner—I cannot entertain the motion—Mr. Lawrence not being at present on trial.

Q.—Did Col. Fabens ever hire you to go to Nicaragua? A.—He has done so through the public papers; from what I had seen in the papers, I was induced to go and see Col. Fabens.

Q.—Why then did you not speak to Col. Fabens when you saw him? A.—He was busy writing, I told you; from what he said about the pen, I should say that he was a smart-spoken man; I should have spoken to him, but Mr. Boulton answered my purpose; I did not see Col. Fabens but once at the Nicaragua office.

Q.—You intended to make no engagement to go to Nicaragua, did you? A.—I only designed to make them believe I intended going; one of my objects was to get men to go to work on the Honduras Railroad.

Q.—Then your object was not wholly philanthropic, as stated in your direct examination? A.—Not entirely so.

Q.—What do you know about men that have gone to work on the Panama Railroad? A.—About 500 Irishmen went to work at the commencement of the road; at the end of six months there were not five of the Irishmen alive. [Laughter.]

Q.—You want to get men to work on the Honduras Railroad, do you? A.—Yes, Sir; I expect to get an appointment for this work; Mr. Miller showed me a letter from the President of the road, stating that he wanted men engaged to go to Honduras.

Q.—Are not laborers who go to Honduras liable to die on about as fast as recruits would get killed under General Walker? A.—They must get well acclimated, then they won't die unless they catch the fever. [Laughter.]

Q.—You have had a pretty liberal education, have you not? A.—I have studied Greek and Latin, and understand Spanish and Portuguese.

Q.—You know the roots of words, do you not? A.—As well as you do, I will warrant.

Q.—What is the meaning of the word instructed? A.—I should judge from the looks of your eye you could tell what the word means without asking me. [Laughter, in which the witness joined.]

Q.—None of your impertinence; I want plain answers. A.—I am stating plain facts; if you want the matter elucidated, I will inform you that there are several grades of inebriety; there is getting "tight," there is getting "muddy," and there is getting "gloriously drunk."

Q.—You have a good memory, I suppose? A.—I have; I can swear to it.

Q.—When was you first at the Marshal's office? A.—I was there after my arrest last Saturday; I was there also yesterday; I have received two subpoenas to attend before a Commissioner.

Q.—Have you not received any pay thus far for appearing in this case? A.—No, Sir; I expect no pay; I have not thought of pay beyond the fees of witnesses.

Q.—Have you not demanded any fees for appearing as a witness in the present case? A.—I asked for fifty cents, supposing I was entitled to that sum. The man told me I would get my pay when the trial ended. The officer who subpoenaed me told me to apply at the Marshal's office.

Q.—How many times did you apply for your pay? A.—But once.

Q.—Did you ever ask any one about the Marshal's office to discount your dues as a witness? A.—No, Sir.

Mr. McKeon—If you will show anything of this character going on in the Marshal's office, I will give you something.

Mr. Blankman—Don't be too anxious; this fact may come out before the close of the examination.

Q.—Did you not try to borrow some money of one of the Deputy Marshals? A.—I wanted to get money to ride home with, as it was very slippery.

Q.—Did you have the same clothes when you first came to the District Attorney's office that you wear at present? A.—I had, Sir.

Q.—Had you been drinking anything the day you first called at the Nicaragua Agency office? A.—Not much; Brown treated me once.

Q.—When you went up to the Tennessee, had you been drinking anything? A.—I took a gin-cocktail during the morning; I was not intoxicated, I am certain of that; I went to the steamer with the ticket in my possession; my object in going was to inform against the fillibusters; I did not have to hold on to the railing or bulwarks; I could walk as straight as I can now; I related out Mr. Boulton to Mr. Thompson, the Deputy-Marshal.

Q.—When you asked Mr. Boulton about giving you a position in Gen. Walker's army, had you any intention of going to Nicaragua? A.—No, Sir; I would not be in Gen. Walker's shoes to-day.

Q.—It was all a lie, was it, that you said to Mr. Boulton about taking sixteen men to Nicaragua? A.—It was.

Q.—Do you know whether or not Mr. Boulton was not lying to you? A.—I don't think he was; I got out of him all I wanted to.

Q.—Did you ask Mr. Boulton if you could not take your rifle with you? A.—I did; he told me I might, but must not carry it in my hands on board the steamer; there were plenty of arms he said in Nicaragua.

Q.—Do you call yourself an informer? A.—Not in the precise meaning of the term. I have not been employed to give information, but have given my information when found it; I think I have acted honorably in the present matter.

Q.—Was you never called informer in the Old Country? A.—No, Sir; I was born in Killarney, Ireland, and left there till I was fifteen years old, when I went to England; at the age of twenty-three I went as cadet in the army to Portugal.

Q.—There is a prison, is there not, in Killarney? A.—Yes, Sir; and a college; I was in the college.

Q.—Was you ever in prison? A.—Yes, Sir;

[illegible], WEDNESDAY, FEB. 4, 1857.

[illegible] THE NEW-YORK DAILY TIMES, [illegible] Dollars a year.

THE NEW-YORK SEMI-WEEKLY TIMES is published [illegible] Dollars a year.

THE WEEKLY TIMES, [illegible] Dollars to one address.

THE TIMES FOR EUROPE is published on the [illegible] of every month. Price, in wrappers, 6 [illegible] each.

THE TIMES FOR CALIFORNIA is published on the [illegible] of every mail steamer. Price, in wrappers, 6 [illegible] each.

[illegible] RAYMOND, WHITLEY & CO., Publishers.

THE NEWS OF THIS DAY.

The Inquest into the Bond-street tragedy was continued yesterday. Young Boreman, the gentleman first discovered the murder, testified to having seen Eckel go into the back yard, where he had never known him go before, at an early hour on Saturday morning. A Mr. and Mrs. Stevens, who live in Mercer-street, testified to Dr. Burdell's having told them that he felt uneasy in his own house from the presence of persons he did not like,—that he had made his will and should never marry,—that Mrs. Cunningham was very anxious he should marry her, but he would not have her to save all his money and his life beside, and that when her lease was out in May he should clear them all out of his house. They also testified to sundry things tending to show a plot on the part of Eckel and Mrs. Cunningham against the Doctor. Hon. Daniel Ullman testified to having come in to the house at half past 12 that night,—gone to bed in the room directly over the scene of the murder, slept soundly and heard nothing until the alarm was given in the morning. Mr. Brooks, living opposite, stated that he heard the cry of murder at about 12; and Dr. Maine, living also opposite, smelled flannel burning, as did also his wife, at 1 o'clock. Doctors Dorsheimer and Gourlay have analyzed the blood found on the clothes in the garret to a chemical examination, and it is said they have no doubt it is menstrual fluid and not blood. Dr. Burdell's funeral was postponed, and is to take place to-day at 2 o'clock. A very full report of the proceedings at the inquest yesterday will be found in another part of this morning's Times.

The steamship *Baltic*, with four days' later news from Europe, is now in her fourteenth day out from Liverpool, and may be expected at any moment.

Hon. Pierson Reed was elected United States Senator yesterday, by the Legislature, for six years from the 4th of March next, in place of Hamilton Fish, whose term then expires. The vote in the Senate stood: Reed, 14; Headley 9; Scattering, 1. In the Assembly: Reed, 77; Shotwell, 29; Headley, 5. Mr. Reed had always acted with the Democratic Party until 1848, when he was a Free-Soiler. In 1854 he voted with the Republicans, being their candidate for Secretary of State, and has acted with that party ever since.

In the United States Senate yesterday, Mr. Wilson introduced his bill to secure to actual settlers the alternate sections of public lands granted to the States for railroad purposes. The Central American Treaty was debated for three hours in Executive Session, but no conclusion was arrived at. In the House, no business of general importance was transacted.

News from Mexico, received at New-Orleans, gives currency to rumors of the outbreak of a new insurrection at Puebla. Affairs at San Luis Potosi are represented to be without change.

A Scene occurred on the 29th ult. in the Lower House of the Missouri Legislature, between two members named Reed and Darnes. The latter offered a resolution declaring emancipation of slaves an impracticable enterprise; Reed assailed him for endeavoring to create ill-feeling in the Legislature, gesticulation followed, and Reed finally struck Darnes a severe blow in the face. A duel will probably result. Reed is notorious as the leader of the Border-Ruffians during the attack upon the Free-State men at Osawatomie during the late difficulties in Kansas. Darnes once beat an editor to death, but escaped with a fine.

An election for Chief Engineer of the Fire Department took place last night, the competitors being Alfred Carson, the present incumbent, and Harry Howard. Returns received up to the latest moment before going to press, indicate the election of Mr. Howard.

The *Board of Governors* met yesterday. The proceedings were of no general interest. There is an increase of 23 upon the numbers admitted into the various institutions during the week.

A Meeting was rendered yesterday in the Marine Court, that a party who purchase to an election bilge a room for a political purpose, is not liable for the rent; the law forbidding any such use of money.

The case of Ex-Consul Fabens and Mr. Bourne, the arrested filibusters, did not get yesterday beyond the cross-questioning of the first witness. The character of the evidence thus far is trivial, and no service or damage to anybody.

In the Surrogate Court the investigation was resumed in the "Bach" will case. Dr. Stoeber, the medical attendant of the testator, was examined. His opinion was that the deceased labored under such a chronic softening of the brain as did not incapacitate him from business. The will of Henry Hart was also examined in behalf of his children, but the proceedings at present are only incipient.

The New-York Historical Society held its regular meeting last night. The report of the Special Committee on the Building Fund showed that the necessary amount ($18,000) requisite for the completion of their new Five Proof Library Building had been collected. Over it all hands were jolly.

The Court of General Sessions opened, for February, yesterday, Recorder Sutton presiding. The Grand Jury panel being complete, the Recorder delivered his charge.

The ship *Far West*, which arrived yesterday, reports the abandonment at sea of the brig *Granite*, of Trenton, Me., and the schooner *Splendid*, of Bath, in the late severe weather. The *Far West* brought the crews of both vessels into port. The bark *F. S. Mason* brought in the crew of the ship *Marguerite*, of Kennebunk, also abandoned at sea.

The All-Absorbing Topic.—There is no fascination so powerful for the human mind as a deed of blood. From the philosopher in his study to the rag-picker in the street, all are alike affected by a story of murder. A hundred deaths in a day from natural causes produces no sensation in the public mind, but one death from the hand of a murderer, causes the whole community to stand aghast at the outrage against nature. Yet murders have been common enough ever since the world began. But the world has never become used to the horrid crime, and at every new revelation of a deed of blood, the same wonder and terror are excited as though the hand of a human being had never before been raised against his brother.

The terrible tragedy in Bond-street has excited an unusual degree of interest in the City, even for a murder, and since the horrible thing also been talked of or thought, since the body of the unhappy man was discovered, the very thoughts of our citizens have been busied in blood, and whenever two persons have been talking together, the topic of their conversation has been of the murder. Crowds of men, women and children have stood all day in Bond-street, looking at the outside of the house in which the dread mystery was enacted, and every person who came out of it became at once an object of curiosity to the eager throng. There is a terrible story of crime and unbridled passion, yet to be revealed, in connection with this horrible affair, and until the mystery shall be cleared up it will continue to engross the mind of the public.

The Coroner's Inquest in the Case of Dr. Burdell.

The Coroner's Inquest is one of the most important proceedings that can be held under our code of criminal law. The custom of the Coroner with nearly exclusive jurisdiction of the cases that fall within the sphere of his authority. It depends, therefore, almost entirely upon his ability, sagacity and experience in conducting inquiries into the causes of crime, whether they are traced to their authors or invested with impunity. We have had occasion, more than once, to comment upon the unsatisfactory character of such inquests in this City, and the total unfitness of some of our Coroners for the difficult and delicate duties which belong to their office. In no case that we can call to mind, where any mystery surrounded the crime, has it been dispelled by inquiries conducted by a Coroner. No murder involved in any special obscurity has been traced to its author. And this is due to the fact that our Coroners are not men fitted by natural ability, legal attainments, or by experience of any kind, for following up the slight incidents and comparatively unimportant facts which afford a clue to the mystery by which these crimes are often surrounded.

The inquest into the death of Dr. Burdell is not likely to furnish any exception to the general rule. No one can have read the very full and detailed report of the proceedings which we have published, without perceiving evidence of the most extraordinary unfitness of Coroner Connery for the position which places the whole investigation under his control. The first object of such an inquest is to obtain possession of every fact connected with the murder,—and then to trace every circumstance in the direction to which it points. Nothing can interfere more completely with this purpose, than the assumption of any theory in advance, for this will necessarily tend to limit the range of inquiry and convert it into an effort to sustain the theory, instead of one simply to elicit the truth. Yet it has been perfectly apparent from the outset that the Coroner has *assumed* that this murder was committed by Eckel, aided by Mrs. Cunningham and young Snodgrass: and all his questions,—all his suggestions and all the statements which he has, in the most extraordinary manner, *forced* into the mouths of witnesses, have had for their plain and palpable object the support and establishment of this theory. One or two references to the testimony will illustrate this.

It seems that some person calling himself Van Dolan and professing to be Mrs. Cunningham's lawyer, called upon a Mr. and Mrs. Stevens in Mercer-street for the apparent purpose of securing their aid in some scheme which Mrs. C. had on foot. The Coroner on Sunday night took Mr. and Mrs. Stevens to see Mr. Eckel, and they both said that apparently he was not the man. Yesterday they were both examined. Stevens said he could not identify Eckel as Van Dolan. But the Coroner reminded him that when he saw Eckel, his wig was off, and asked if he did not think he *could* identify him if his wig were on. Stevens was still uncertain, whereupon the Coroner said he would have him presented so that he would be able to recognize him as the man who had called upon him. Mrs. Stevens, when her turn came, was told the same thing. And both were then taken to Eckel's cell. At the close of the morning session the Coroner informed the Jury that Mr. and Mrs. Stevens had been to see Eckel and identified him at once as the Van Dolan who had called upon them; and when it was suggested that they should give their testimony under oath, he said it was the same thing—they had told him that they were sure of it!

But the following extract from our report of the proceedings of Monday will show still more strongly this apparent determination of the Coroner to fasten the crime—or at all events *some* crime—upon these parties at all hazards, while it illustrates at the same time the tone and temper in which his part of the inquiry has been carried on: It is from the testimony of the chambermaid, Mary Donaho:

Q.—Was Mr. Eckel in the habit of being in her bedroom every night? A.—For the last month or so he was; he carried up birds, and he was always there.

Coroner—Yes, *he was a bird himself*. That is *right*. [Subdued laughter.]

Q.—Did you ever hear any conversation between Mr. Eckel and Mrs. Cunningham? A.—Well, I did not hear any particular conversation.

Coroner—Well, now you are giving the fairest evidence we have got at all yet; and the fact is you are telling the truth so exactly, that I believe, Mary, you will throw a light upon this matter more than anybody else.

Witness—I considered that they were going to get married; at least I thought so from their keeping that sort of company.

Coroner—You remember now, Mary, that you have taken this oath, and that you respect an oath and all that sort of thing. I know you don't mean to endanger your soul for anybody.

Witness—No, Sir.

Q.—Did you ever see him kiss her? A.—No, Sir; I never did; but I saw him pay her a great deal of attention.

Q.—Winking at her? A.—Well, I thought them very intimate, and did not feel easy in the place, and have thought that on account of her being the mother of a grown-up family it was hardly right.

Coroner—That's just it, Mary; I know where you come from—that it was all right. A.—Yes, Sir, I am well known in the City; I have lived in the best families in it.

Q.—You thought her conduct was too loose? A.—Yes, I did, Sir, as a married lady and a widow lady, I thought she should have had more discretion than keeping him sitting there so late at night; the last thing on going to bed was always to put on coal for them, and I would leave him sitting there; he never was in his own room, but on a Sunday night.

Q.—Did you ever know Mr. Burdell to use the same familiarity with Mrs. Cunningham that this Eckel used? A.—Never, Sir; he was quite different in his manner; he was always respectful and obliging to her; if she wanted anything, he would send for it for her.

Coroner—Ha! ha! that's my opinion.

Q.—Did you hear any threat yourself? or were you ever told of by any of the domestics in the house, as having been used by Mrs. Cunningham towards Mr. Burdell? A.—I never heard her directly say so; but she said it was time that he was out of the world, for he was not fit to live in it, or something like that; her elder daughter, Augusta, said the same; she said he was a bad man.

Coroner—I know Mary; I know that you carried your tail behind you, by gracious! [Laughter.]

Witness—I joked her, and said I supposed if he was married it would not be so; I meant to say, if he had had any one to guide him—for he had got a strange way.

Q.—Did they say this in the presence of Mr. Eckel? A.—Yes, Sir, at the breakfast table.

Coroner—That is right.

[illegible] thing else been talked of or thought, since the body of the unhappy man was discovered. The very thoughts of our citizens have been busied in blood, and whenever two persons have been talking together, the topic of their conversation has been of the murder. Crowds of men, women and children have stood all day in Bond-street, looking at the outside of the house in which the dread mystery was enacted, and every person who came out of it became at once an object of curiosity to the eager throng. There is a terrible story of crime and unbridled passion, yet to be revealed, in connection with this horrible affair, and until the mystery shall be cleared up it will continue to engross the mind of the public.

[illegible]

Coroner—Yes, I will Mary; and be very happy to hear it.

Witness—The Sunday night after, they had been words. (The Doctor was in the habit of going to Brooklyn every Sunday.)

Coroner—Tell it very fast; they (referring to the witnesses) want to get it. [illegible] to tell you one thing?

Witness—Yes, I will Mary; and be very happy to hear it.

Witness—The Sunday night after, they had been words. (The Doctor was in the habit of going to Brooklyn every Sunday.)

Coroner—Tell it very fast; they (referring to the witnesses) want to get it. [illegible] and their hearing to hold. If you asked one of them to kiss you, he wouldn't hear it. (Laughter.) Go on now, and tell it out. Go on.

If anything ever occurred in a Court of Justice more shockingly disgusting than this, it has not fallen under our notice. Yet it gives a fair but faint indication of the peculiar spirit in which the Coroner has conducted what should have been one of the most solemn and impressive, as it is certainly one of the most important, inquisitions ever held in this country. The quasi ill-timed levity,—the broadest and coarsest jocularity, a low chuckling over the disclosure of everything throwing doubt upon the relations of the parties, have characterized the Coroner's share of this proceeding. It seems impossible for him to conceal the personal exultation he feels over everything which convicts any of the parties of any misconduct, or throws suspicion upon their character. The historian of the French Revolution tells us that on one occasion, when the President of the Tribunal was told that an old man who had been summoned was paralytic and could not speak, he exclaimed—"no matter, it is not his *tongue*, but his *head* that we are after." The joke was scarcely more brutal, and was quite as amusing as many by which, in this inquest, the Coroner has provoked the laughter of the Jury and spectators.

Now it is quite possible that the Coroner's theory of the case may be the correct one; and it is desirable that every fact touching the connection of these parties with the affair, and their relations with each other should be elicited. But it is utterly wrong to *adopt* such a theory,—or any theory, in advance, and thus to confine the testimony to matters having a bearing upon it. Suppose it fails at last. The Coroner has succeeded, to a very great degree, in limiting the attention of the Jury to his own view of the subject:—their questions are generally in the direction of his own:—they take their cue substantially from him:—while traces, circumstances and incidents tending in other directions, are lost sight of and fading away. The whole inquest is thus made to turn upon this theory, and if this fails the whole breaks down. The parties will be put upon trial, and before that is over, if they are not guilty, the real criminals will have had abundant time to obliterate every vestige by which they might now be traced, and place themselves beyond the reach of justice.

It is certain that a strong suspicion has been thrown upon the parties in this case by the evidence thus far produced. But it is impossible not to see that much of this testimony has been suggested to witnesses, as well as given by them,—that there is not a single point thus far established, which is legally inconsistent with innocence of the murder, and that by the course that has been pursued, the chances of detecting the author of this terrible crime have been made to rest exclusively on the chances of convicting these very parties. If that effort fails, the murder of Dr. Burdell must take its place in the list, already long enough, of the most horrible and astounding butcheries, perpetrated in the most crowded parts of this great metropolis, with the most complete and entire impunity.

A New Tariff.

Are we ever to have a new Tariff? is a question which the people have been asking for a long time; and which is repeated with peculiar earnestness now, as the last session of the 34th Congress is drawing to a close. When the present Administration came into power, Secretary Guthrie, who is an able political economist, made the operation of our Revenue laws his particular study; and in every report to Congress he has strongly urged a revision of the present Tariff, for the purpose of reducing the Revenue of the Government. That our people are now heavily taxed—unnecessarily taxed—is apparent to any one who has noted the rapid accumulation of money in the Treasury, year after year. There is always more money there than the Government requires, and much more than the Government knows what to do with. The recommendation of Secretary Guthrie, that the revenue should be reduced "to the amount annually needed for the prudent and economical administration of public affairs," is therefore reasonable and just. At every session of Congress this recommendation has been regularly taken up for discussion, and as regularly laid down again. And now, in the last days of the Administration, we seem to be as far from a new Tariff as ever.

We are persuaded that there is no business to which Congress can better devote the remaining four weeks yet allotted to it, than to a revision of the Tariff of 1846. If this work is not accomplished before the end of the present session, it will not be accomplished within the two years to come. And how will it be, in the mean time, with the thousand industrial enterprises which the present Tariff is slowly destroying? In December next, a new Congress will be convened. This Tariff question, if not disposed of now, will then be renewed. The new Congressmen will need information, and the whole ground must again be examined. Members, who have never given a thought to the Tariff, must have the whole subject opened and explained in all its bearings and results. And when, at last, everybody understands it; and even the backwoodsman, who suppose that the much-talked-about Tariff is an animal, has had his erroneous impressions corrected, the end of the long session will have arrived. If a new Tariff is then enacted, the notion of six months, which will necessarily precede its initiation, will push forward into 1859, or the next Winter, that consummation now most earnestly desired by the country. So, if a new Tariff is not established before the 4th of March next, we must abandon all hopes of it for at least two years to come. And for this result who shall be held responsible?

The Tariff bill reported to the House of Representatives last week, by Mr. Campbell, is well calculated for a basis of legislative action. It is a modification of the bill reported by him last Summer, and is, probably, more acceptable than that, to the majority of the House. It abolishes the 100 per cent. schedule of duties, reducing the duty on brandies, liquors and cordials, which formed that schedule, to 50 per cent. The 40 per cent. schedule is struck out, and [illegible] from 30 per cent. to 20 per cent. [illegible] (the most important of values), remains at 30 per cent., which is an unreasonable tax, as long as cotton cheaply manufactured fabrics are admitted at the same duty. Woolen goods, lead, coal, glass, and most of the articles now in the 30 per cent. schedule, remain there. Of these, coal ought to be free. Cottons, silks and flannels are kept at 25 per cent., and blankets and linens at 20 per cent. The 15 per cent. schedule is abolished, and a 10 per cent. schedule is formed, comprising some of the most important articles of our consumption—such as wool, hemp, lead, sugar and salt. All these articles ought to be in the free list.

Though this bill will not meet the views of every legislator, it contains many good features. Salt at 10 per cent. is better than salt at 20; and sugar at 10 per cent. is better than sugar at 30. Wool at 10 per cent. is much better than wool at 30 per cent., especially while blankets are kept at 20 per cent., hosiery, hockings and flannels at 25, and broadcloths and fine woolens at 30. This discrimination tends to favor American industry; and no discrimination less than this, between the duties on raw wool and on woolen goods, can cause the broadcloth looms to start again in this country.

The development and growth of our woolen manufactures depends now entirely upon our tariff. To enable our mills to compete with foreign mills, we must have a tariff to counteract the hostile legislation of those foreign countries which overrun our market with their fabrics. When England, France, Prussia and Austria import wool, for their broadcloths, free of duty—the United States must do the same; or these European manufacturers, in whose favor our tax on imported wool operates, will surpass us so largely in our own markets, that we shall be compelled to stop all our broadcloth spindles. This has been the effect of the tariff of 1846 upon our woolen manufactures; and the prospect of relief to be afforded by Mr. Campbell's bill will give life to this decaying branch of our industry.

The relations of the tariff to our woolen manufactures has attracted so much attention of late that the following table, showing the rate of duty fixed on wool by the various tariffs enacted by Congress since 1789, will be found interesting. This statement has never before been published.

TARIFF RATES ON IMPORTED WOOL FROM 1789.

January, 1789, to April, 1816—free.

April, 1816, to May, 1824—15 per cent. ad valorem.

May, 1824, to June, 1825—costing under 10c. per lb., 15 per cent. ad valorem.

May, 1824, to June, 1825—costing over 10c. per lb., 20 per cent. ad valorem.

June, 1825, to June, 1828—costing under 10c. per lb., 15 per cent. ad valorem.

June, 1825, to June, 1828—costing over 10c. per lb., 20 per cent. ad valorem.

June, 1828, to June, 1832—costing under 10c. per lb., 15 per cent. ad valorem.

June, 1828, to June, 1832—costing over 10c. per lb., 30 per cent. ad valorem.

June, 1832, to June, 1842—4c. per lb. specific, and 40 per cent. ad valorem.

June, 1842, to June, 1846—4c. per lb. specific, and 45 per cent. ad valorem.

June, 1846, to June, 1857—costing under 8c. per lb., free.

June, 1846, to June, 1857—costing over 8c. per lb., 5 per cent. ad valorem.

June, 1846, to July, 1846—costing over 7c. per lb., 8c. specific, and 30 per cent. ad valorem.

July, 1846, to present time—all wool 30 per cent. ad valorem.

The fluctuations in this table are remarkable, and considering their frequency and the extremes to which they run, it is surprising that any capital should ever have been invested in woolen manufactures in this country.

With a high tariff "protection" on wool, which from 1828 to 1832 amounted to a prohibition, our flocks of sheep have not increased. And the farmers of this country must, by this time, be convinced that free trade in wool is as necessary for their prosperity as for the prosperity of the woolen manufacturer. But whether wool is to be free or not, let us have a new tariff immediately, which shall cut down the Revenue and empty the Treasury of that surplus of gold which has been a temptation for all kinds of corrupt legislation.

Unjust Suspicions.

For a journal which professedly discards everything but specific proof as the basis of accusations against Congress, the *Tribune* has a remarkably free-and-easy style of dealing with private character. One would suppose the position of the two young daughters of Mrs. Cunningham to be about as unfortunate and pitiable as possible, without the added cruelty of accusations utterly unwarranted by evidence, or even by any just suspicion: but the *Tribune* does not hesitate to impute to one of them the most criminal relations with young Snodgrass,—the former a young girl of fifteen, and the latter an old acquaintance of the family, and, so far as yet appears, sustaining no relations but those of friendship with both the daughters. Not even the suspicious chambermaid has hinted at anything else in their connection. Their prospects in life will be sufficiently darkened by the shadow of this dreadful tragedy, without the further disgrace of unfounded slanders.

There is a natural disposition, upon the occurrence of such a catastrophe as this, to invest everybody connected with it in an atmosphere of unusual immorality. All the gossip of servants, the suspicions of boarders, the calumnies of enemies, come to the light and are magnified into gigantic proportions by public rumor. This house is spoken of in the public prints as a house of prostitution:—the fact that a large party was given at it in January looms up as something awful:—and the further fact that *punches* were sometimes made and drank by the inmates, sitting sociably together, is held up as a startling indication of the deep depravity into which they had sunk.

It strikes us that these inferences are a good deal broader than the premises will warrant. Neither Mrs. Cunningham nor Mr. Eckel seem to have been very conspicuous ornaments of the most virtuous and refined society,—and the testimony given certainly warrants the suspicion that their relations to each other were criminal. But there is nothing anywhere to implicate these young girls in a knowledge, much less a participation, of their mother's offences;—and the charges against them are of too horrible a nature to be made without evidence that shall leave no doubt. When the petty quarrelings, the jealousies, the friendly intimacies, the social enjoyments and merry-[illegible] public sentiment [illegible] crime, male-hills are very apt to [illegible] trivial, and matters which, under other circumstances, would not attract the slightest observation, are magnified into the most serious and astounding affairs.

It can do no harm, certainly, to be on our guard against the possible injustice of such premature and unauthorized conclusions,—especially where they affect private and personal character.

Down upon the Clergy and Christianity Generally.—The *Herald* never misses an opportunity for a fling at the clergy, and yesterday, in commenting upon the tragedy in Bond-street, it rather insinuated that the clergymen of the City were responsible for that terrible affair. It says: "We have every reason to believe that no small portion of the responsibility of the decay of virtue rests upon our clergy, and it "finds, in studying History, that religion has not always engendered a respect for moral law;" "it would appear as though Christianity and Crime went apace," &c. It has also observed that "in communities where the general moral tone has been high, a remarkable diminution of brutal crime has taken place."

The *Herald's* historical studies have been very peculiar, it must be confessed, and we would be glad to know in what community there has ever been a high moral tone, which could not be directly traced to the effects of Christianity and the teachings of the clergy. To attempt to make the clergy responsible for all the evil passions which distort the face of society, is an entirely new proposition. If such were to be the case, one of the heaviest charges against the clergy would be the existence of such a paper as the *Herald* itself in the community.

Amusements.

Academy of Music.—There was an excellent house on Monday evening, to witness Mlle. Parodi's performance of *Linnora* in Donizetti's opera of the "Favorita." It is one of the best of that lady's interpretations, and was received with enthusiasm by the audience. Signor Mobelli in excellent voice,—sang and acted with uncommon fervor and excellence, and contributed largely to the success in the second act, (the duet.) Signor Tiberini exerted himself creditably. The orchestra was excellent, but the chorus displayed some weakness and uncertainty, especially in the third act, where it is most proper for it to display the opposite qualities.

To-night, Mlle. Parodi will appear in her great role of Norma. Miss Strakosch also makes her appearance as *Adalgisa*.

Burton's Theatre.—The unusual spectacle of a crowded house greeted Mr. Brougham on his first appearance here, Monday night. Mr. Burton and Mr. Brougham are unquestionably the two most original souls of Momus in New-York, and it must be a subject of congratulation that they have consented to appear together for a few evenings in some of their best entertainments. Entertaining towards each other the profoundest sentiments of personal esteem, nothing but a thin-skinned sense of professional injury or injustice has kept them apart. On Monday night they acknowledged their errors frankly, and gave the audience to understand that in future they would toddle down to the grave with arms entwined, hearts skewered, and cheeks flushed with the joyful glow of love. The picture is large, but beautiful.

Two pieces were selected for the occasion—Coyne's comedy of "John Bull," and an eccentric Adelphi duality called "An Unwarrantable Intrusion." In the comedy Mr. Brougham sustained his famous character of *Dennis Bulgruddery*, with something more than his usual spirit, which is saying all that can be said of that extremely hilarious impersonation. He was vociferously received and tumultuously applauded. Mr. Burton played *Job Thornberry*. The character is entirely out of his line of business, demanding as it does a frequent display of pathos—an emotion of which Mr. Burton is ignorant. But he compensated for this deficiency by a plaintful lachrymose, answering very well, except that the audience laughed where it should have wept. No tradition is more prevalent than that which teaches the public to burst into a roar of laughter the moment Mr. Burton makes his appearance on the stage.

Mr. Fisher was a respectable *Pumpwise*; Miss Mitchell an engaging *Mary*; (we are glad to observe the careful progress of this young lady;) and Mrs. Hughes a good *Dame Bulgruddery*. The remainder of the caste were several thousand fathoms beneath mediocrity. The scenery ditto.

The "duality" obtains its present vitality from the impromptus and gags of Messrs. Burton and Brougham. It is an indescribable piece, filled with uproarious fun, and played as it can only be played at this house with the two gentlemen we have mentioned.

At the end of the comedy Mr. Brougham was called out, and made one of his usual humorous speeches, much to the delight of the audience. When he had retired, Mr. Burton received the same compliment.

Niblo's Garden.—The "wonderful" Bayers (that is the title of the Arrieres and Jessen troupe, we believe,) with the Morelkaires and Ravenna's, returned to their old quarters on Monday night, appearing in "Esmeralda" and "Pongo." The house was crowded.

Goldbeck's Morning Recital.—Mr. Goldbeck—a careful and excellent performer on the piano, and a pleasing composer for that instrument—gave his second *Matinée* on Monday, assisted by several excellent artists. The programme contained several classical morceaux, which were executed with great skill. Mr. Goldbeck merits the attention of the public.

Laura Keene's Theatre.—A new drama, in three acts, called "Mary's Birthday," was produced here on Monday night with success. It belongs to the Mme. de Girardin school of pathetic pieces, and has simply a domestic incident for a plot. An elder brother wishes his younger brother to marry a pretty adopted girl on her birthday. Younger brother consents as a matter of duty. Birthday arrives, and pretty girl declares her love for the mature brother, who reciprocates, much to the relief of the young brother. This incident is essentially narrative, and has no outward or dramatic program. The play might be played in one act as well as three, if the audience could stand that quantity of unrelieved dialogue. The delineation of certain manifest traits in the human character, and the expression of various gentle emotions, are all that the dramatist can rely on for success. In the present instance he has succeeded in producing an inoffensive piece—a little heavy, but highly respectable in its dullness; altogether as good, perhaps, as could be effected, with the materials at his disposal. The language is "gushing," and not unfrequently reminds you of the poet's corner of a country paper, but it displays occasional warmth that some feeling. The piece was excellently acted by Miss Keene and Mr. Wheatleigh. Mr. Owen would have been good, had he not marred his elocution by a ridiculous school-girl clipping of his words. Miss Keene announced the piece for repetition, and stated that it was from the pen of Mr. J. Milne, of Maryland.

Mr. L. Sherman Ives, LL.D., delivered a lecture on "Religion in its Connection with Man's Social State," at Hope Chapel last evening, for the benefit of the poor children of the Church of the Nativity.

DESTROYING MEN AND WOMEN

Is a species of butchery. It is a shocking mode of destroying life. But is it not just as fearful a murder to kill men and women with mixtures of potash with iodine, instead of giving them pure iodine in pure water, which is a certain remedy, an unfailing one, an easy one, a cheap one, a speedy one for consumption, for rheumatism, for scrofula, liver and heart complaints and such diseases? Iodine and potassium [illegible] the patients; they cure other maladies by turning them into consumption! Dr. Myers's Aromatic Liquid Iodine, or Pure Iodine Water, cures them all at once, and cures consumption, also, when it has [illegible] Sold at $1 a bottle by Aspinwall & [illegible], Nos. 3 Second-avenue and 589 Broadway, C. H. Ring, No. 199 Broadway, and all druggists.

WOODLAND CEMETERY.—A person who has been applying the hair, highly [illegible] French artists imported, and for half the price [illegible] dressing ladies' hair it has no equal [illegible] glossy appearance. It cleans [illegible] out in the most natural manner. It [illegible] always giving the hair the appearance of [illegible] arrangement. Price only 50 cents. [illegible] & Co., Proprietors of the [illegible] A Thousand Flowers." For sale at all [illegible]

S. COATES & CO., Portable Gas Works, [illegible] to all public attractions [illegible] Gas Works [illegible] Apply to S. Coates & Co., Office [illegible] Broadway, New-York.

MURRAY & LANMAN'S Florida Water is the [illegible] of the Spanish Peninsula. [illegible] Adorition, in superseding them, as it [illegible] every other perfume for [illegible] water, it gives a silken smoothness to the [illegible] moving crape, roughness and pimples, while its [illegible] is finer than that of the most fragrant exotics in the conservatories. Sold by D. T. Lanman & Co., [illegible] druggists, No. 69 Wooster-st., New-York, and by all druggists, at 50c. per bottle.

PUNDY'S NATIONAL THEATRE.—A [illegible] entertainment is to be given at this popular [illegible] night, consisting of four lively and favorite pieces, as follows: "Mary Queen of Scots," "School is up for four," "Under-Kelly," and "Slumpy Magnire." [illegible] J. H. Allen, Mrs. H. P. Wemyss, Miss [illegible] Miss Fanny Herring, and the whole company. [illegible] married, best of all, that funny fellow Frank [illegible] L. Fox appears in all four pieces. "Bully for him!" Go early.

DESERVED FAME.—We find the following [illegible] in a Washington paper: "We cannot do this public a greater service (particularly those who are liable to overtax the vocal organs) than to call [illegible] to Brown's Bronchial Troches. The article is highly valued in Boston, where they are [illegible] tured; and with members of Congress and public singers they are exceedingly popular, being a [illegible] and natural auxiliary to the physical efforts [illegible] public speakers and vocalists."

HOLLOWAY'S OINTMENT.—Prof. Holloway had the honor of being presented to the Emperor of [illegible] in 1853, and obtained permission for the use of this extraordinary Ointment in Russia, in consequence of its great efficacy in all Skin Diseases. It will cure Salt Rheum and Scrofula when all other means fail.

TO NERVOUS SUFFERERS.—A retired clergyman restored to health in a few days, after many years of great nervous suffering, is anxious to extend the means of cure. Will send (free) the prescription. Direct to Rev. John M. Dagnall, No. 19 Fulton-st., Brooklyn, N. Y.

THE NEW LOCAL DRAMA OF BROADWAY [illegible] all the rage. Every body is rushing to see it. It is full of the deepest interest. It is chock-full of charm; of Daylight and Gaslight." It is to be nailed posted this afternoon and evening.

HERRING'S PATENT CHAMPION FIRE-PROOF SAFES.—Nos. 189, 191 and 193 Water-street, and 251 Murray-street, New-York.

MARRIED.

WILEY—BOOTH.—In this City, on Sunday, Feb. 1, at St. Luke's Church, by Rev. Isaac H. Tuttle, D. D., Rev. Ira Clifton Wiley, of this City, to Miss Mary Antoinette, daughter of Dr. John J. Booth, of Hudson.

SHARP—LESHAM.—At Hackney, Connecticut, on Monday, Feb. 2, by Friends' ceremony, Joseph Sharp, of this City, to Hannah B., daughter of Benedict J. Lesham, of Philadelphia.

DIED.

LUND.—In this City, on Tuesday, Feb. 3, Sarah [illegible], wife of Herman B. Lund, aged 34 years and 9 months.

The relatives and friends of the family are respectfully invited to attend her funeral, on Thursday, the 5th inst., at 1 o'clock P. M., from her late residence, No. 31 West 16th-st., without further invitation.

COLLINS.—In this City, on Tuesday morning, Feb. 3, Francis Margaret, youngest daughter of Thos. and Ann Collins, aged 3 years.

The friends and relatives of the family are respectfully invited to attend the funeral, this (Wednesday) afternoon, at 2 o'clock, from the residence of her parents, No. 127 East 25th-st.

WILKINS.—In this City, on Tuesday, Feb. 3, at the residence of her parents, Elizabeth Hyatt, Esq., No. 45 Morton-st., Mrs. Eliza Wilkins.

VAN BRUNT.—In Brooklyn, on Monday, Feb. 2, Douglas Van Brunt, in the 76th year of his age.

The relatives and friends of the family are invited to attend the funeral, on Wednesday, Feb. 4, from his late residence, No. 27 Smith-st., corner of Livingston, at 12 o'clock P. M., without further notice.

DE WITT.—At Rhinebeck, on Friday, Jan. 30, Col. James H. De Witt, in the 72d year of his age, brother of Rev. Thomas De Witt, of this City.

BOGERT.—At Far Rockaway, L.I., on Wednesday, Jan. 31, of scarlet fever, Wm. Henry, aged 8 years; Sunday, Jan. 31, Thos. Richardson, aged 17 months; and on Monday, Feb. 2, Mary Fanny, aged 3 years and 4 months, children of Wm. H. and Frances H. Bogert.

LESTER.—At Apalachicola, Fla., on Sunday, Feb. 1, William H. Lester, youngest son of Thomas Lester, of Brooklyn, aged 21 years, 1 month and 10 days.

His remains will be brought to Brooklyn for interment.

FERRIER.—In this City, on Monday morning, Feb. 2, of paralysis, Mr. Isaac M. Ferrier, in the 59th year of his age.

His friends and acquaintance, and those of his brothers, William T. and James W., are respectfully invited to attend his funeral, on Wednesday afternoon, at 2 o'clock, from his late residence, No. 163 Allen-st., without further invitation.

BUSINESS NOTICES.

SCARLET FEVER.—HOW TO GUARD AND PREVENT IT.—A Rare Medicine—Dr. Page, of Hartford, well known in the Southern States, has met with [illegible] in the treatment of Scarlet and other malignant Fevers by the use only of Radway's Ready Relief and Regulators. "The Ready Relief possesses a power over all malignant and contagious diseases." As a preventive, Dr. Page says, "It is a blessing to the human race." The most subtle distillation of Rose [illegible] not hurt or attack the human system that is under the influence of Radway's Ready Relief.

Let those now seized with Scarlet Fever or any other malignant Fever, Spotted Fever, Pneumonia or Inflammation, either of the lungs or bowels, or of sudden attacks of any acute or dangerous nature, resort to Radway's Ready Relief—and use it WITHOUT HESITATION WHEN ANY DISEASE EXISTS. It will save your life if the medicine you use may be in doing no good. If, on the other hand, you are getting better under your physician's care, it will make your final recovery doubly certain.

AS A PREVENTIVE.—If you will use the Ready Relief and Regulators as directed, you may visit the most infected places and escape without an attack.

So with Small Pox, Measles, Typhus and Bilious Fevers. Radway's Relief will protect you against the most malignant of these infectious poisons.

Radway's Ready Relief and Regulators are safe to use under all circumstances, and will always do good. Sold at RADWAY'S R. R. Office, No. 162 Fulton-st., New-York, W. B. REIBER'S, No. 44 South 2d-st., Philadelphia, also Corner of Meeker and Gledhill-sts., Newark, and at Mrs. HAWS', Brooklyn.

SINGER'S SEWING MACHINES.—THE TIMES have arrived to prepare for the Spring and Summer trade. He who buys a Singer Machine now will have great advantage over him who purchases after the hurry of Spring sets on. The finished Singer's Machines are ready, and will assuredly [illegible] [illegible] work is well warranted. I. M. Singer & Co.'s Circular is just published, and is full of information about Sewing Machines. Circular sent gratis to all who ask.

I. M. SINGER & CO.,
No. 323 Broadway, New-York.

BATCHELOR'S HAIR DYE, WIGS AND TOUPEES.—The best in the world; the only perfect dye; warranted harmless; [illegible] BATCHELOR'S WIGS [illegible] Avoid the ridiculous attempts to imitate the celebrated wigs. The genuine and best can be [illegible] BATCHELOR'S, No. 81 Bond-st.

SPECIAL NOTICE.—THE ADAMS EXPRESS Company, No. 59 Broadway, [illegible] Freight [illegible] Adams Express Company.

RUPTURE—ONLY THREE MEDAL AWARDED to MARSH & CO., for the best Trusses, at the Crystal Palace, for the above year's [illegible] Office, No. 2 Vesey-st., near Broadway. Open from 7 A. M. to 9 P. M.

BUFFALO LITHIA WATER.—[illegible] sale cheap by ALBERT H. NICOLAY, No. 4 Beekman-st.

MANHATTAN OIL COMPANY'S STOCK FOR sale cheap by ALBERT H. NICOLAY, No. 4 Beekman-st.

WELLS, FARGO & CO.'S EXPRESS STOCK FOR sale cheap by ALBERT H

PERSONAL NOTICES

PERSONAL.

HORSES AND LOTS FOR SALE

SITUATIONS WANTED.

HELP WANTED.

BOARDING.

HOUSES TO LET.

HOUSES AND ROOMS WANTED

LOST AND FOUND.

NEW PUBLICATIONS.

THE MURDER OF DR. BURDELL
Is not half so exciting or interesting as the Tales in THE LEDGER OF ROMANCE.

THE LEDGER OF ROMANCE IS OUT
THIS MORNING, containing the progress of THE GREAT HUNTINGTON TALE.

TWO LEDGERS IN THE FIELD,
Everybody says THE LEDGER OF ROMANCE is the best.

THE MURDER OF DR. BURDELL.
Is not half so exciting or interesting as the Tales in THE LEDGER OF ROMANCE.

THE LEDGER OF ROMANCE IS OUT
THIS MORNING, containing the progress of THE GREAT HUNTINGTON TALE.

TWO LEDGERS IN THE FIELD,
Everybody says THE LEDGER OF ROMANCE is the best.

THE MURDER OF DR. BURDELL
Is not half so exciting or interesting as the Tales in THE LEDGER OF ROMANCE.

THE LEDGER OF ROMANCE IS OUT
THIS MORNING, containing the progress of THE GREAT HUNTINGTON TALE.

TWO LEDGERS IN THE FIELD,
Everybody says THE LEDGER OF ROMANCE is the best.

THE MURDER OF DR. BURDELL
Is not half so exciting or interesting as the Tales in THE LEDGER OF ROMANCE.

THE LEDGER OF ROMANCE IS OUT
THIS MORNING, containing the progress of THE GREAT HUNTINGTON TALE.

TWO LEDGERS IN THE FIELD,
Everybody says THE LEDGER OF ROMANCE is the best.

THE LIBRARY OF STANDARD FICTION.
Neat 12mo volumes, uniform in style and price.
The following volumes of this popular series are now ready:
THE SCOTTISH CHIEFS.
TEADDEUS OF WARSAW.
CHILDREN OF THE ABBEY.
GIL BLAS.
DON QUIXOTE.
ARABIAN NIGHTS.
ROBINSON CRUSOE.
SWISS FAMILY ROBINSON.
PILGRIM'S PROGRESS.
HOLY WAR.
RASSELAS.
VICAR OF WAKEFIELD.
PAUL AND VIRGINIA.
THE PRISONER OF SIBERIA.
GULLIVER'S TRAVELS.
TALE OF A TUB.
ROMANCE OF THE FOREST.
COLEBS IN SEARCH OF A WIFE.
TRISTRAM SHANDY.
THE MYSTERIES OF UDOLPHO.
TOM JONES.
AMELIA.
RODERICK RANDOM.
HUMPHREY CLINKER.
Each volume is embellished with one or more illustrations, and all are bound in neat uniform binding, red and black cloth, full gilt backs. Price $1.
DERBY & JACKSON, Publishers,
No. 119 Nassau-st., New-York.
Copies sent by mail postpaid, on receipt of price.
P. S.—Inquire for Derby & Jackson's Uniform Edition.

THE NATIONAL POLICE GAZETTE
TO-MORROW,
WILL PORTRAY
THE WHOLE OF THE TRAGIC HISTORY OF THE BOND-ST. MURDER,
With a Correct View of the Scene by a First-Rate Artist. Also, Criminal Matters of Deep Interest. Two Dollars per annum. One Dollar for six months. Two Postage Stamps for the present number. Address,
E. A. SEYMOUR, National Police Gazette, New-York City.

VALENTINES, VALENTINES.
Valentine dealers should send in their orders at once, so as to have the choice of
STRONG'S SPLENDID ASSORTMENT,
which has never been surpassed.
Now is the time.
To lay in a stock.
The ten-dollar sample lots are the surest investment that can be made, and afford the easiest way to make $10 over $10 invested.
Now's the time!
Don't be too late!
St. Valentine's day is near!
Remember, the cheapest, most beautiful and elegant Valentines in the world can only be obtained of
T. W. STRONG, No. 30 Nassau-st.

BOND-STREET MURDER.
The only authentic and correct likenesses of Dr. HARVEY BURDELL, from an ambrotype taken only five days previous to the murder; also a correct view of the room, taken by permission of the Coroner, before the body was removed, (expressly for this paper) also a view of the house where the horrible deed was committed, will be published in No. 62 of FRANK LESLIE'S ILLUSTRATED NEWS, ready on FRIDAY MORNING, Feb. 6, at all news depôts. Price 6 cents.

SONGS AND BALLADS,
BY
SIDNEY DYER.
1 vol., with steel portrait.
Price, $1.
If this was an ordinary volume of verses, it might lose itself in the multitude that have preceded, and thus escape a very trying ordeal; but, as a volume of original songs, it will stand in direct contrast with the two or three which have been issued before it, the principal one of which is by symbol the king-author in the house.
But as most of the songs here collected have met with much favor in connection with the melodies with which they have been published, it is hoped that some degree at least of the same approbation will be given them in this form.
SHELDON, BLAKEMAN & CO.,
Publishers, No. 115 Nassau-st.

BOND-STREET MURDER.
The only authentic and correct likenes of Dr. HARVEY BURDELL, from an ambrotype taken only five days previous to the murder; also a correct view of the room, taken by permission of the Coroner, before the body was removed, (expressly for this paper) also a view of the house where the horrible deed was committed will be published in No. 62 of FRANK LESLIE'S ILLUSTRATED NEWS, ready on FRIDAY MORNING, Feb. 6, at all news depôts. Price 6 cents.

GIVEN AWAY. GIVEN AWAY.
GO AND SEE!
Go and see what! Why, your brother, neighbor, Day & Scott at FAIR & COW'S Gift Bookstore, No. 439 Broadway, New-York, and receive a Gift Book, from 25 cents to $100. Gold and Silver Watches, gem of Cameos, Gold Lockets, Pencils, Brooches, Rings, Penknives, &c., given away to purchasers of Books. All the new and popular publications received as soon as issued. Our stock consists in part, of standard, poetical, historical, biographical and miscellaneous books, together with an almost assortment of new and second hand School Books, Annuals, Albums, in various styles of binding. Having bought a very large stock of new books and fine gold jewelry at the lowest rates for cash, we are determined to give our customers better bargains than can be had elsewhere. Catalogues of books sent to any part of the City or country free, on application by mail.
EVANS & CO.,
Principal store, No. 439 Broadway, New-York.

BOND-STREET MURDER.
The only authentic and correct likenes of Dr. HARVEY BURDELL, from an ambrotype taken only five days previous to the murder; also a correct view of the room, taken by permission of the Coroner, before the body was removed, (expressly for this paper) also a view of the house where the horrible deed was committed, will be published in No. 62 of FRANK LESLIE'S ILLUSTRATED NEWS, ready on FRIDAY MORNING, Feb. 6, at all news depôts. Price 6 cents.

VALENTINES—VALENTINES.—UP-TOWN Valentine Depot, No. 182 6th-av. Now ready, a large and well-assorted stock of Valentines, wholesale and retail, comprising all the variety. WILLIAM L. JONES, Bookseller, Stationer, and Dealer in Fancy Goods, No. 182 6th-av., between 11th and 12th sts.

INSTRUCTION.

$2 50.—WRITING CLASSES—BOOK-KEEPING, ALGEBRA, ARITHMETIC, &c.—Taught day and evening at PAINE'S Academies, No. 62 Bowery, N. Y., and No. 348 Fulton-st., Brooklyn. Skillful assistants employed. From Gen. Buonoc, New-York, N. Y.—I can truly say my own sons and daughters have made great improvement under Col. PAINE'S tuition, together with the hundreds of others who have been under his instruction in this place.

$112 A YEAR IS CHARGED FOR BOARD and tuition at the HUDSON RIVER INSTITUTE, at Claverack, N. Y., three miles from Hudson City. Next term opens April 15. Male and female. For catalogues, address G. H. GARDNER, A. M., Principal.

MUSIC TEACHER.—A THOROUGH TEACHER of the Piano and Singing would give lessons to early to MUSIC TEACHER, No. 111 East 26th-st., near 3d-av.

WANTED—A FRENCH OR GERMAN MUSIC teacher to go a short distance in the country to teach in an academy. Address J. W. M., box 2,040, at WM. HALL & SON'S Music Store, No. 239 Broadway.

STEAM POWER.

DRY GOODS.

WET GOODS! WET GOODS!! WET GOODS!!!
WET SILKS—[illegible]
WET DAMASKS—[illegible]
WET NAPKINS—[illegible]
WET TOWELING and Wet Diaper, cheap.
WET LINEN TABLE CLOTHS—[illegible]
WET SHIRTING and Shirting Linen.
WET BRILLIANTS and Handkerchiefs.
WET JACONETS, plain and stripe.
WET QUILTS and Blankets—great bargains.
25 cases and bales cotton Shirting and Sheeting.
The above-named goods will be sold at great sacrifice, and will prove a rare opportunity to best buyers, buying before August, and the public generally.
ANDREW G. COSBY,
No. 300 Grand-st., corner of Bridge.

BRODIE'S MANTILLAS,
SPRING,
1857.
GEORGE BRODIE,
Nos. 51 CANAL AND 49 LEONARD-STS.,
Begs to indicate to
SOUTHERN AND WESTERN MANTILLA BUYERS
that he
WILL OPEN
his
NEW STYLES
on
MONDAY,
Feb. 2.

CLOSING SALE OF EMBROIDERIES BELOW COST.
LACE SETTS,
FRENCH EMBROIDERED SETS AND COLLARS,
FRENCH CAMBRIC AND JACONETTE SETS,
BREAKFAST AND TRAVELING SETS,
LACE VEILS, POINT LACES, &c.,
BANDS, FLOUNCING AND EDGINGS
50 per cent. below the usual prices.
BEEKMAN & CO., No. 473 Broadway.

LYONS VELVETS, LYONS VELVETS,
Of superior quality and all widths,
By BEEKMAN & COMPANY,
No. 473 Broadway.

ALL OUR WHITE GOODS,
Comprising
JACONETS,
CAMBRICS,
SWISS,
MANSOOKS,
CHECKS,
STRIPES,
MULLS, &c., &c.,
Will be offered this week as an Enormous Sacrifice, to close stock, at the
GREAT SALE,
No. 708 BROADWAY,
Near Fourth-st.

SPRING MANTILLAS
AT WHOLESALE.
THE LARGEST STOCK IN THE CITY.
GEORGE BULPIN,
No. 261 Broadway,
Has now in store an unusually extensive assortment, both imported and his own manufacture, especially adapted to the wants of
SOUTHERN AND WESTERN JOBBERS,
FROM THE LOWEST PRICES TO THE MOST COSTLY GOODS
IN
BLACK AND COLORED SILK MANTILLAS,
CHANTILLY LACE MANTILLAS,
FRENCH LACE MANTILLAS,
EMBROIDERED SILK MANTILLAS,
BUGLED LACE AND NET MANTILLAS,
MOURNING MANTILLAS,
BARQUES, TALMAS, &c., &c.
The attention of the trade is invited.
Prices low, and terms liberal.

$50,000 WORTH OF DRY GOODS
TO BE SOLD OFF WITHOUT RESERVE
Commencing on Monday, 2d inst.
ISAAC BADCOCK, No. 385 GRAND-ST.,
(Between Chrystie and Forsyth-sts.),
WILL SELL OFF his entire stock of
DOMESTIC AND FANCY DRY GOODS,
At prices much below the cost of the same. Consisting of Alpacas, cashmeres, Irish linens, lonsdales, cambrics and lawns, muslin de laines, poplins, ginghams, marseilles, paramattas, black silks, merveilleux, de laines, plaids, tickings, &c. Also, sewing silk, gloves, ribbons, embroideries, hosiery, and small wares of all kinds.
As the stock will be sold without reserve, I, B. hereby give notice that I will early and keep the balance of good goods at lower prices.
Lease of the store and house to be disposed of—3 years to run, fixtures for sale cheap.

1857. SPRING MANTILLAS. 1857
JACOB LORSING,
IMPORTER AND MANUFACTURER
OF
MANTILLAS, &c.,
is now prepared to exhibit a
COMPLETE AND MAGNIFICENT STOCK,
consisting of the
NEWEST AND MOST DESIRABLE STYLES,
TO WHICH HE WOULD INVITE THE
CITY, SOUTHERN AND WESTERN JOBBERS.
THE ABOVE WILL BE OFFERED AT THE
VERY LOWEST PRICES, AND ON
LIBERAL TERMS.
No. 49 CEDAR-STREET,
BETWEEN NASSAU AND WILLIAM.

CLOSING SALE.
DAMASKS,
NAPKINS,
DIAPERS,
TOWELLINGS,
SHEETINGS,
SHIRTINGS,
&c., &c.
ENGLISH LONG CLOTHS,
10 cents per yard, worth 1s. 3d.,
AT THE GREAT SALE,
NO. 708 BROADWAY,
Near Fourth-st.

DRY GOODS ANNOUNCEMENT.
The Ladies of New-York and vicinity are respectfully notified that an inspection of the prices of
DRY GOODS,
now offering at the
GREAT SALE,
NO. 708 BROADWAY,
will satisfy the most incredulous that this a
BONA FIDE SALE,
and not a mere announcement to dupe the public.

IRISH LINEN SHEETINGS!
500 Pieces of
FINE LINENS
Will be offered this week at
8c. 10d. per yard,
Worth 4s. 6d.,
at the
GREAT SALE,
No. 708 Broadway,
Near 4th-st.

A BARGAIN! 500 DOZEN 5-8 ALL LINEN CAMBRIC HANDKERCHIEFS,
in Fine Qualities, will be offered this week at
10c., 12c. and 14c. per dozen.
Worth 16c. 18c. and 20c.
At the
GREAT SALE,
No. 708 BROADWAY,
Near Fourth-st.

ENGLISH AND GERMAN HOSIERY,
In all sizes and qualities, will be offered this week at an
ENORMOUS SACRIFICE,
GREAT SALE,
No. 708 BROADWAY,
Near Fourth-st.

LINEN AND MUSLIN SHEETINGS AND SHEETINGS,
DAMASK TABLE LINENS AND TOWELING,
BLANKETS, QUILTS, LACE AND MUSLIN DRAPERY, &c., &c.,
At BEEKMAN & COMPANY, No. 473 Broadway.

SPRING SILK ROBES.
BEEKMAN & CO., No. 473 Broadway,
will open, this morning,
Spring silk robes, new designs,
received per last steamers.

CLOSING SALE OF CLOAKS
At 50 per cent. less than cost,
By BEEKMAN & COMPANY,
No. 473 Broadway.

WET GOODS! WET GOODS!!
Per ship NEW-YORK,
From Auction,
CHARLES HEARD & CO.
No. 501 Grand-st.

WET LINEN SHEETING,
At half cost,
CHARLES HEARD & CO., No. 501 Grand-st.

WET LINEN SHEETING,
At half cost,
CHARLES HEARD & CO., No. 501 Grand-st.

WET LINEN DAMASKS,
At half cost,
CHARLES HEARD & CO., No. 501 Grand-st.

WET LINEN TABLE CLOTHS,
At half cost,
CHARLES HEARD & CO., No. 501 Grand-st.

WET LINEN DIAPERS!
At half cost,
CHARLES HEARD & CO., No. 501 Grand-st.

WET COUNTERPANES,
At half cost,
CHARLES HEARD & CO., No. 501 Grand-st.

WET ENGLISH LONG CLOTHS,
At half cost,
CHARLES HEARD & CO., No. 501 Grand-st.

WET GOODS! WET GOODS!
Warranted perfect, at
CHARLES HEARD & CO.'S, No. 501 Grand-st.

CLOAKS AT HALF PRICE.
TO CLOSE THE SEASON'S IMPORTATIONS.
We shall offer our ENTIRE CLOAK STOCK during the month of JANUARY,
At the mean cash ONE-HALF the ORIGINAL PRICE
[illegible]
GEORGE BULPIN,
No. 261 Broadway.

NAPKINS, NAPKINS.
[illegible] doz. PURE FLAX NAPKINS,
10c. 12c. 14c. 16c. 18c. 20c. 25c. 30c. 35c. 40c. 45c. 50c. 60c. 75c. 87c. 100c. 125c. 150c. 175c. 200c. 225c. 250c. 275c. 300c. 325c. 350c. 375c. 400c. 425c. 450c. 475c. 500c. 525c. 550c. 575c. 600c. 625c. 650c. 675c. 700c. 725c. 750c. 775c. 800c. 825c. 850c. 875c. 900c. 925c. 950c. 975c. 1000c. 1025c. 1050c. 1075c.

AUCTION SALES.

E. W. WESCOTT, Auctioneer.

MAGNIFICENT CHINA OF VALUABLE DESIGNS, ORNAMENTAL AND USEFUL.—E. W. LONDON & CO. will sell at auction, on THURSDAY, Feb. 5, at 10 o'clock, at the Merchants' Exchange, No. 1 Wall-st.

Catalogues ready.—The magnificent Sale Nos. 36, 41 and 42 Chambers-st., and Nos. 1 and 3 Wall-st., comprising the choicest and most extensive Oriental Importation, ever offered, and representing nearly every the finest of the world, chosen from the best manufactories, and as a collection, for variety and quality, perhaps unrivalled in the country.

Thursday and Friday, Feb. 5 and 6, at 10 o'clock, at the Merchants' Exchange, No. 1 Wall-st., the following valuable property, by order of the Sheriff, and by Sale:

4 lots on Broadway, running through to Courtlandt-alley, 25x100 feet.
2 lots on Canal-st., between Broadway and Centre-st.
2 lots on Walker-st., between Broadway and Centre-st.
2 lots on Canal-st., between White and Walker-sts.
2 lots on Amity-st.

Home and Lot No. 31 Bond-st. Also, one lot on Great Jones-st., near Broadway.

Two-thirds of the purchase money can remain on mortgage, at the option of the purchaser, for five years.

Maps and "Information" at the office of the Auctioneer, No. 14 Pine-st.

J. W. MASON & T. BOYD, Auctioneers.

AUCTION NOTICE.—BY GEORGE NICHOLS, Jr. (Wednesday) MORNING, Feb. 4, at 10½ o'clock, at the Salesroom, No. 37 Nassau-st., now and this day, the entire stock, fixtures, &c., comprising mahogany French bedsteads, mahogany marble-top centre, side and pier tables, mahogany marble-top dressing bureaus, mahogany sofas in hair cloth, mahogany and walnut chairs, in hair cloth, elegant suites of parlor furniture in brocatel and hair cloth, black walnut centers, oak book-cases, elegant rosewood 7 octave piano-fortes, cottage suites of furniture with marble tops, oil paintings, China and decorated vases, Chinese and other cats, silver-plated castors with cut bottles, silver-plated tea sets, silver-plated forks and spoons, cake baskets, &c., &c.

P. C. HALLIDAY, Auctioneer.

VALUABLE REAL ESTATE ON BROADWAY AND FORTY-SEVENTH-STREET.—P. C. HALLIDAY will sell at auction on WEDNESDAY, Feb. 4, 1857, at 12 o'clock, at the Merchants' Exchange, the lot of ground with the store and dwelling on the westerly side of BROADWAY, fronting 25 feet 10 inches north from the corner of 47th-st. Also,

FORTY-SEVENTH-STREET.—The seven first-class three-story brick houses and lots on the southerly side of 47th-st., 100 feet 10 inches west of Broadway, each 16 feet 8 inches by 100 feet.

A large portion of the purchase-money may remain on bond and mortgage. Maps can be had at the Office of the Auctioneer, No. 14 Wall-st.

THOMAS J. MESSIER, Auctioneer.

VALUABLE PROPERTY ON BROADWAY, 7th-av. and 16th-st., in the immediate vicinity of the Central Park.—Peremptory sale. By partition, by order of the Supreme Court, under the direction of Charles D. Mead, Referee.—MILLER & MORRIS will sell at auction, on THURSDAY, Feb. 5, at 12 o'clock, at the Merchants' Exchange: Broadway.—The three lots on the northeast corner of Broadway and 20th-st., 100x50 ft.—The eight lots on the southerly side of 20th-st., adjoining the same: Three between 7th-av. and Broadway, 7th-av.—The four lots on the northerly side of 20th-st. and 7th-av.—For maps and particulars, apply at the office of the auctioneers, Mar. 26 Pine-st.

J. R. WOOD, Auctioneer.

EXECUTOR'S SALE OF VALUABLE PROPERTY ON BROADWAY, COURTLAND ALLEY, CANAL, WALKER, CENTRE, BOND, AMITY AND GREAT JONES STS.—THE HARVEY AND LUDLOW & CO. will sell, at auction, on THURSDAY, Feb. 5, 1857, at 12 o'clock, at the Merchants' Exchange, the following valuable property, by order of the Executors and Trustees:

4 lots on Broadway, running through to Courtlandt-alley, 100x100 feet.
2 lots on Canal-st., between Broadway and Centre-st.
2 lots on Walker-st., between Broadway and Centre-st.
2 lots on Canal-st., between White and Walker-sts.
2 lots on Amity-st.

Home and Lot No. 31 Bond-st. Also, one lot on Great Jones-st., near Broadway.

Two-thirds of the purchase money can remain on mortgage, at the option of the purchaser, for five years.

Maps and "Information" at the office of the Auctioneer, No. 14 Pine-st.

J. W. MASON & T. BOYD, Auctioneers.

AUCTION NOTICE.—BY GEORGE NICHOLS, Jr. (Wednesday) MORNING, Feb. 4, at 10½ o'clock, at the Salesroom, No. 37 Nassau-st., now and this day, the entire stock, fixtures, &c., comprising mahogany French bedsteads, mahogany marble-top centre, side and pier tables, mahogany marble-top dressing bureaus, mahogany sofas in hair cloth, mahogany and walnut chairs, in hair cloth, elegant suites of parlor furniture in brocatel and hair cloth, black walnut centers, oak book-cases, elegant rosewood 7 octave piano-fortes, cottage suites of furniture with marble tops, oil paintings, China and decorated vases, Chinese and other cats, silver-plated castors with cut bottles, silver-plated tea sets, silver-plated forks and spoons, cake baskets, &c., &c.

P. C. HALLIDAY, Auctioneer.

VALUABLE REAL ESTATE ON BROADWAY AND FORTY-SEVENTH-STREET.—P. C. HALLIDAY will sell at auction on WEDNESDAY, Feb. 4, 1857, at 12 o'clock, at the Merchants' Exchange, the lot of ground with the store and dwelling on the westerly side of BROADWAY, fronting 25 feet 10 inches north from the corner of 47th-st. Also,

FORTY-SEVENTH-STREET.—The seven first-class three-story brick houses and lots on the southerly side of 47th-st., 100 feet 10 inches west of Broadway, each 16 feet 8 inches by 100 feet.

A large portion of the purchase-money may remain on bond and mortgage. Maps can be had at the Office of the Auctioneer, No. 14 Wall-st.

THOMAS J. MESSIER, Auctioneer.

VALUABLE PROPERTY ON BROADWAY, 7th-av. and 16th-st., in the immediate vicinity of the Central Park.—Peremptory sale. By partition, by order of the Supreme Court, under the direction of Charles D. Mead, Referee.—MILLER & MORRIS will sell at auction, on THURSDAY, Feb. 5, at 12 o'clock, at the Merchants' Exchange: Broadway.—The three lots on the northeast corner of Broadway and 20th-st., 100x50 ft.—The eight lots on the southerly side of 20th-st., adjoining the same: Three between 7th-av. and Broadway, 7th-av.—The four lots on the northerly side of 20th-st. and 7th-av.—For maps and particulars, apply at the office of the auctioneers, Mar. 26 Pine-st.

J. R. WOOD, Auctioneer.

EXECUTOR'S SALE OF VALUABLE PROPERTY ON BROADWAY, COURTLAND ALLEY, CANAL, WALKER, CENTRE, BOND, AMITY AND GREAT JONES STS.—THE HARVEY AND LUDLOW & CO. will sell, at auction, on THURSDAY, Feb. 5, 1857, at 12 o'clock, at the Merchants' Exchange, the following valuable property, by order of the Executors and Trustees:

4 lots on Broadway, running through to Courtlandt-alley, 25

The Coroner's Inquest—Hannah Hogg's Examination.

Testimony of the Boy Burdell, Mr. and Mrs. Stevens, Mr. Hildreth, Mr. Brooks, Dr. and Mrs. Mali, and Hon. Daniel Ullman.

INCREASED EXCITEMENT.

Chemical and Microscopical Investigation of the Blood.

SKETCHES OF PARTIES IMPLICATED.

[illegible]

Q.—Was Mr. Burdell married? A.—Yes, Sir; he married Miss Mary Stevens, of Stamford, Conn. Q.—How long had you known the family? A.—About three years. Q.—Did you know Mrs. Cunningham? A.—Yes, Sir. Q.—How long had you known her? A.—About two years. Q.—Do you know anything peculiar in the appearance of the body? A.—Nothing, only the throat was cut. Q.—Was the throat cut from ear to ear? A.—Yes, Sir. Q.—Was the head cut off? A.—No, Sir. Q.—Was the throat cut deep? A.—Very deep. Q.—Was the windpipe cut? A.—Yes, Sir. Q.—Was the gullet cut? A.—Yes, Sir. Q.—Was the knife sharp? A.—Very sharp. Q.—Was the cut made with a single stroke? A.—No, Sir. Q.—How was the carotid artery? A.—Cut in two. Q.—Was the left side of the neck cut? A.—Yes, Sir. Q.—Was the cut made by a single stroke? A.—No, Sir. Q.—Was the knife drawn across the throat? A.—Yes, Sir. Q.—Was the knife drawn across the throat from the left side of the neck? A.—Yes, Sir. Q.—Was the cut made by a single stroke? A.—No

[illegible] with me; I remained talking with them [illegible] ter of the murder; it occupied my mind; it agitated

Coroner—Well, that is your impression; it is the [illegible]

Juror—Well, if such a thing had occurred you would [illegible]

[illegible] the quantity of blood [illegible] [Laughter.]

Witness—Thank you, Mr. Coroner.

By Juror—Q—Did you say you came home on Friday night of last week; did you observe any light in any of the front rooms as you came in? A.—I saw no light in the house until I lit the gas in my own room.

Q.—You retired to bed probably shortly after this? A.—Just before I retired to bed I had taken out my watch to lay it away, and I noticed then that it was half-past twelve o'clock.

Q.—Did you hear any one move in the house after you had retired to bed? A.—I heard the sound of any kind whatever; that attracted my attention, and let me remark that a sound very lightly; a single tap on my door will generally awaken me.

Q.—When you were awake in the morning and heard people pass up and down, did you hear any one leaving the house? A.—I heard persons going up and down the stairs as usual in the morning, and I should not be able to say what they were doing; I frequently hear persons go up and down the stairs that morning.

Q.—Do you recollect the time this happened? A.—I remember I heard a banjo playing that morning.

Coroner—In what room did you hear the banjo? A.—I cannot tell where it was.

Coroner—It certainly was not in the chamber of death? A.—Oh, no Sir.

Q.—Was it on the same floor with you? A.—No, Sir, I don't think it was.

Coroner—Might it not have been the sweet intonations of some organ-grinder in Bond-street? (Laughter.) A.—No, Sir; it was the sound of a banjo which I have heard before in the house.

Q.—That was before you left the room? A.—That was my impression; it was the first I heard this outcry. I was astonished when I heard it; I was on my feet in a moment; I was unnerved; I was [illegible]

Q.—Where did you hear that banjo before? A.—I have heard it at Saturday nights; I passed the doors of those two parlors in the evening; I have heard it when I thought it was overheard in the up story of the house.

Q.—During your time in this house, if you have [illegible] between Mrs. Cunningham and Mr. Eckel? A.—I never saw any intimacy; I never heard of any; I did not know Mr. Eckel's name; I noticed him on the evening of that outcry, when I got the impression that the name was Eckel.

A Juror—You were told so? A.—I got that impression, but I don't know how; I suppose I was told; but who told me I don't know; I got the impression then that he was the person who occupied one of the front rooms of the house.

Q.—Did you see any familiarity in the hall-room? A.—Not the slightest in the world, Sir.

Coroner—The only question I will ask you is simply this, did the body appear to be cold at the time you went into the room, as if dead for some time, or did it appear as if death was but recent? A.—I have seen many dead bodies—bodies killed by shots and daggers; and it struck me at once that the body was very stiff, and must have been dead for some time, both on that account, and because the blood around and about him seemed to be very largely dried up.

Q.—Then you thought he was dead for some hours, at all events? A.—I thought so, Sir.

A Juror—At the time you entered the room was the gas lighted in either of Mr. Burdell's rooms? A.—One burner was lighted over his desk.

Q.—That was the desk in front of the looking-glass? A.—At the rear wall of the house; the subject was remarked upon, because we also looked at his bed, and saw that it had the appearance of not having been used that night.

Coroner—Was there a chair in front of the pier glass at the desk, on that part of it in which he kept his tools? A.—I have been endeavoring to recollect whether there was a chair there; there was a chair either near the grate or before the desk; my impression is that it was near the grate.

Coroner—I found it opposite the desk, and that is the reason why I asked you about it.

Witness—It might have been removed.

Coroner—Yes, Sir, and that was very improper.

Witness—I so stated at the time.

A Juror—Did you examine any of the closets or any of the washbasins to see if there was any blood in them? A.—I did not examine the closet near where the deceased was lying; I know that I did not, because as I was going down Broadway I remarked to myself that it was very singular I did not open that closet.

Q.—Do you recollect a newspaper lying on the desk beneath with blood? A.—There was a newspaper, the *Herald*.

Coroner—Yes, that's it.

Witness—It was lying on the table; there were two drops of blood on the lower edge of it.

Q.—Did you observe whether his boots were on or off? A.—I think his boots were on; I am not sure though.

Q.—Was the burner in full blast? A.—I think not; it is difficult to tell the extent of the burning in the day time, but my impression is that it was not in full blast.

Q.—Well, it was burning in such a way that a man could read a newspaper at night by it? A.—Oh, yes.

Q.—It was put out in the way a man would do if he wished to leave it partially lighted on going to bed? A.—The burner was burning freely at any rate, if it was not in full blast.

Juror—Do you recollect the manner in which the windows were left, whether open or shaded? A.—I think that they were partially open at any rate.

Q.—Is that a person could see into it from the rear? A.—I think so, for the idea came into my mind whether any person could see from the rear.

Q.—Did you hear any observation or suggestion made previous to the time of your leaving that morning that he was murdered or assassinated? A.—We all discussed it; I discussed it, and I was endeavoring to satisfy myself, not anybody else; I was endeavoring to look at everything as critically as possible for the purpose of satisfying myself, and that question came up; we all conversed in a low voice, for persons are apt to be pretty grave about such transactions; I was endeavoring to reconcile *what I saw with several theories* that came up in my mind; every lawyer is conversant with that state of mind.

Q.—Nobody said, previous to your going away, as far as you can remember, that Mr. Burdell was murdered? A.—No, Sir; I say we all discussed it.

Coroner—I know you discussed it, and you say your opinion was that it was apoplexy?

Witness—We all discussed it, and the bias of my mind at the time was that it was apoplexy.

Q.—But in that discussion did any domestic of the house or anybody else offer an opinion that he was murdered? A.—I think it was mentioned several times by different persons in the room; this gentleman, Dr. Smith, was there; there was still another gentleman there, and there were two officers; Mr. Snodgrass came in and out, and I cannot say that other persons did not come in there, because I was paying more attention to this than to who were there.

A Juror—You remained here all Saturday night, did you not? A.—I came in on Saturday night, from half-past seven till eight o'clock, and remained till between half-past nine and ten o'clock next morning.

Q.—Did you observe, after the examination of the two rooms, the stains of blood on the walls down along as you went? A.—I looked at them.

Q.—All I want to know is, did you see them at that time? A.—I saw them at that time; I remarked the stains, and suggested whether these were not made by the officer *who turned over the body; some one said* that the officer thought that the marks on the hall door were made by him.

Coroner—I have examined the officer, Sir, and he says he put no hand on anything till he went to the *hall door, and that if any blood-stain was on the hand*le of the door it was by his action, but that he did not put his hand on anything else.

Witness—The marks on the walls of the hall seemed to me as if they had been made by the lappel of a person's coat; *they looked so, and I suggested it at the* time.

Coroner—Yes, it has gone up nearly as far as the attic, and down nearly to the basement.

Witness—That I have never seen.

A Juror—Did you come in on Saturday morning?

A.—I did.

Q.—What occurred then? A.—I came in the door and found some officers in the entry; I saw the younger Miss Cunningham and Snodgrass standing at the door of this back parlor; the young lady seemed very much agitated indeed, and wished to know whether her mother could not have counsel; I told her I supposed she could have counsel—it was part of the laws of this country that every one should be entitled to counsel; I asked *if* the Coroner's Inquest had not been concluded? she answered, "No;" says I, "*If it is apoplexy, I should suppose they* could settle it quickly." Snodgrass spoke at once and said, "Why, Mr. Ullman, it is a horrible and a foul murder, he has fifteen wounds in him."

Coroner—Snodgrass said that? A.—He said *that to me; I asked him who made* the examination; he said he believed it was Dr. Francis.

A Juror—Do I understand you to say that you saw an officer turn him over? A.—Yes, Sir.

Q.—When he turned him over could you not discover any wound in the back *of his neck or on the* side of his head? A.—I could discover nothing of the kind, Sir, for it was covered with his cravat and his clothes; and he was not in a position that I could see the wound in his neck.

Q.—Did you have no impression that there was a wound on him of that kind? A.—I did not; I saw *the blood running out of the nose; I did not venture* to give the body a close examination, or to touch any part of it, because I have long since settled in my mind that we are bound, in such cases, to await the arrival of the Coroner.

Coroner—Mr. Ullman, you began by making your statement in your own way, and it was very straightforward. Now, we will conclude your own excellent conversation in the way you began it, by finishing up with the conversation you had with Miss Helen and Miss Augusta.

Witness—I had this conversation with the younger one; I don't know what her name is.

Coroner—It is Helen.

Witness—Helen. I then went up to my room, and after a while Mr. Snodgrass came and asked me if I would go into Mr. Eckel's room, and that is the first time that I appreciated the idea that his name was Eckel; I immediately went to the room, and Mr. Eckel was there; he said to me, "this is a horrible affair, Mr. Ullman;" I said, "Indeed, it is, Sir;" I then said, "Mr. Eckel, how long have you known this family?" He said, "since sometime in October last; I came here just before you did;" young [illegible] his first names were? He answered, "John Jay." He turned to me, and says he, "what does that mean?" says I, "have you studied?" "Yes," says he, "and I gave my name when I gave my testimony;" the officer then came back and he looked at him (Eckel) and said "you will come with me, Sir," or something of that kind; he then turned and looked at me, and said "who is this?" I turned to him and said "Ullman is my name;" "Ah," said he; Eckel proceeded to put on his boots; *did you observe any light* in any of the front rooms as you came in? A.—I saw no light in the house until I lit the gas in my own room.

Q.—You retired to bed probably shortly after this? A.—Just before I retired to bed I had taken out my watch to lay it away, and I noticed then that it was half-past twelve o'clock.

Q.—Did you hear any one move in the house after you had retired to bed? A.—I heard the sound of any kind whatever; that attracted my attention, and let me remark that a sleep very lightly; a single tap on my door will generally awaken me.

Q.—When you were awake in the morning and heard people pass up and down, did you hear any one leaving the house? A.—I heard persons going up and down the stairs as usual in the morning, and I should not be able to say what they were doing; I frequently heard persons go up and down the stairs that morning.

Q.—Do you recollect the time this happened? A.—I remember I heard a banjo playing that morning.

Coroner—In what room did you hear the banjo? A.—I cannot tell where it was.

Coroner—It certainly was not in the chamber of death? A.—Oh, no Sir.

Q.—Was it on the same floor with you? A.—No, Sir, I don't think it was.

Coroner—Might it not have been the sweet intonations of some organ-grinder in Bond-street? (Laughter.) A.—No, Sir; it was the sound of a banjo which I have heard before in the house.

Q.—That was before you left the room? A.—That was my impression; it was the first I heard this outcry. I was astonished when I heard it; I was on my feet in a moment; I was unnerved; I was [illegible]

Q.—Where did you hear that banjo before? A.—I have heard it at Saturday nights; I passed the doors of those two parlors in the evening; I have heard it when I thought it was overheard in the up story of the house.

Q.—During your time in this house, if you have between, have you heard of any intimacy existing between Mrs. Cunningham and Mr. Eckel? A.—I never saw any intimacy; I never heard of any; I did not know Mr. Eckel's name; I noticed him on the evening of that outcry, when I got the impression that the name was Eckel.

A Juror—You were told so? A.—I got that impression, but I don't know how; I suppose I was told; but who told me I don't know; I got the impression then that he was the person who occupied one of the front rooms of the house.

Q.—Did you see any familiarity in the hall-room? A.—Not the slightest in the world, Sir.

Coroner—The only question I will ask you is simply this, did the body appear to be cold at the time you went into the room, as if dead for some time, or did it appear as if death was but recent? A.—I have seen many dead bodies—bodies killed by shots and daggers; and it struck me at once that the body was very stiff, and must have been dead for some time, both on that account, and because the blood around and about him seemed to be very largely dried up.

Q.—Then you thought he was dead for some hours, at all events? A.—I thought so, Sir.

A Juror—At the time you entered the room was the gas lighted in either of Mr. Burdell's rooms? A.—One burner was lighted over his desk.

Q.—That was the desk in front of the looking-glass? A.—At the rear wall of the house; the subject was remarked upon, because we also looked at his bed, and saw that it had the appearance of not having been used that night.

Coroner—Was there a chair in front of the pier glass at the desk, on that part of it in which he kept his tools? A.—I have been endeavoring to recollect whether there was a chair there; there was a chair either near the grate or before the desk; my impression is that it was near the grate.

Coroner—I found it opposite the desk, and that is the reason why I asked you about it.

Witness—It might have been removed.

Coroner—Yes, Sir, and that was very improper.

Witness—I so stated at the time.

A Juror—Did you examine any of the closets or any of the washbasins to see if there was any blood in them? A.—I did not examine the closet near where the deceased was lying; I know that I did not, because as I was going down Broadway I remarked to myself that it was very singular I did not open that closet.

Q.—Do you recollect a newspaper lying on the desk beneath with blood? A.—There was a newspaper, the *Herald*.

Coroner—Yes, that's it.

Witness—It was lying on the table; there were two drops of blood on the lower edge of it.

Q.—Did you observe whether his boots were on or off? A.—I think his boots were on; I am not sure though.

Q.—Was the burner in full blast? A.—I think not; it is difficult to tell the extent of the burning in the day time, but my impression is that it was not in full blast.

Q.—Well, it was burning in such a way that a man could read a newspaper at night by it? A.—Oh, yes.

Q.—It was put out in the way a man would do if he wished to leave it partially lighted on going to bed? A.—The burner was burning freely at any rate, if it was not in full blast.

Juror—Do you recollect the manner in which the windows were left, whether open or shaded? A.—I think that they were partially open at any rate.

Q.—Is that a person could see into it from the rear? A.—I think so, for the idea came into my mind whether any person could see from the rear.

Q.—Did you hear any observation or suggestion made previous to the time of your leaving that morning that he was murdered or assassinated? A.—We all discussed it; I discussed it, and I was endeavoring to satisfy myself, not anybody else; I was endeavoring to look at everything as critically as possible for the purpose of satisfying myself, and that question came up; we all conversed in a low voice, for persons are apt to be pretty grave about such transactions; I was endeavoring to reconcile *what I saw with several theories* that came up in my mind; every lawyer is conversant with that state of mind.

Q.—Nobody said, previous to your going away, as far as you can remember, that Mr. Burdell was murdered? A.—No, Sir; I say we all discussed it.

Coroner—I know you discussed it, and you say your opinion was that it was apoplexy?

Witness—We all discussed it, and the bias of my mind at the time was that it was apoplexy.

Q.—But in that discussion did any domestic of the house or anybody else offer an opinion that he was murdered? A.—I think it was mentioned several times by different persons in the room; this gentleman, Dr. Smith, was there; there was still another gentleman there, and there were two officers; Mr. Snodgrass came in and out, and I cannot say that other persons did not come in there, because I was paying more attention to this than to who were there.

A Juror—You remained here all Saturday night, did you not? A.—I came in on Saturday night, from half-past seven till eight o'clock, and remained till between half-past nine and ten o'clock next morning.

Q.—Did you observe, after the examination of the two rooms, the stains of blood on the walls down along as you went? A.—I looked at them.

Q.—All I want to know is, did you see them at that time? A.—I saw them at that time; I remarked the stains, and suggested whether these were not made by *the officer who turned over the body; some one said* that the officer thought that the marks on the hall door were made by him.

Coroner—I have examined the officer, Sir, and he says he put no hand on anything till he went to the *hall door, and that if any blood-stain was on the hand*le of the door it was by his action, but that he did not put his hand on anything else.

Witness—The marks on the walls of the hall seemed to me as if they had been made by the lappel of a person's coat; *they looked so, and I suggested it at the* time.

Coroner—Yes, it has gone up nearly as far as the attic, and down nearly to the basement.

Witness—That I have never seen.

A Juror—Did you come in on Saturday morning?

A.—I did.

Q.—What occurred then? A.—I came in the door and found some officers in the entry; I saw the younger Miss Cunningham and Snodgrass standing at the door of this back parlor; the young lady seemed very much agitated indeed, and wished to know whether her mother could not have counsel; I told her I supposed she could have counsel—it was part of the laws of this country that every one should be entitled to counsel; I asked *if* the Coroner's Inquest had not been concluded? she answered, "No;" says I, "*If it is apoplexy, I should suppose they* could settle it quickly." Snodgrass spoke at once and said, "Why, Mr. Ullman, it is a horrible and a foul murder, he has fifteen wounds in him."

Coroner—Snodgrass said that? A.—He said that *to me; I asked him who made* the examination; he said he believed it was Dr. Francis.

A Juror—Do I understand you to say that you saw an officer turn him over? A.—Yes, Sir.

Q.—When he turned him over could you not discover any wound in the back *of his neck or on the* side of his head? A.—I could discover nothing of the kind, Sir, for it was covered with his cravat and his clothes; and he was not in a position that I could see the wound in his neck.

Q.—Did you have no impression that there was a wound on him of that kind? A.—I did not; I saw *the blood running out of the nose; I did not venture* to give the body a close examination, or to touch any part of it, because I have long since settled in my mind that we are bound, in such cases, to await the arrival of the Coroner.

Coroner—Mr. Ullman, you began by making your statement in your own way, and it was very straightforward. Now, we will conclude your own excellent conversation in the way you began it, by finishing up with the conversation you had with Miss Helen and Miss Augusta.

Witness—I had this conversation with the younger one; I don't know what her name is.

Coroner—It is Helen.

Witness—Helen. I then went up to my room, and after a while Mr. Snodgrass came and asked me if I would go into Mr. Eckel's room, and that is the first time that I appreciated the idea that his name was Eckel; I immediately went to the room, and Mr. Eckel was there; he said to me, "this is a horrible affair, Mr. Ullman;" I said, "Indeed, it is, Sir;" I then said, "Mr. Eckel, how long have you known this family?" He said, "since sometime in October last; I came here just before you did;" young [illegible]

Q.—As Mr. Ullman said? A.—Yes, the gentleman [illegible] handkerchief or pocket cloth.

Q.—Was there anybody with you? A.—No, he was [illegible]

Q.—Did he observe it? A.—He spoke of it at the time.

Q.—Did either you or your husband imagine where it was? A.—We did not; my husband [illegible] and found there was nothing burning in our own house, and could not ascertain where the smell came from.

Q.—Did you hear any noise after you came in? A.—I did not.

Q.—Do you know any of the relations of the family? A.—I do not; I do not know Mr. Eckel; I met Mr. Burdell in my own house.

The Juror—There were other persons come in after eleven who did not observe the smell.

Q.—What were the habits of Dr. Burdell? Was he a quiet, temperate man? A.—I never knew him intimately, but from having seen him in my husband's office, I thought him a quiet, gentlemanly man.

MR. MAIN'S TESTIMONY.

Stephen R. Main sworn.—Examined by the Coroner.

Q.—You have heard your wife's testimony? A.—But a very little of it.

(Testimony of Mrs. Main read by Coroner.)

Q.—Do you know anything more than that, Sir? A.—Yes, Sir. I do; I was the one who came across and entered Dr. Burdell's room first after the boy made the alarm of his being dead; I opened the Doctor's door and found him lying on his back, his right hand near his face—he was cold; I raised his head to see if he had cut his throat, for I thought he had committed suicide owing so much blood about his throat; I looked around to see if there was any weapon; his desk was open; I ran into the front room and saw that his bed was to all appearance as when made up; I locked the door, giving the key to my young man and leaving him there; Mr. Snodgrass came up stairs, saying that Mrs. Cunningham and the young ladies had fainted; I went up; the youngest of the two lay partly across the bed, and appeared to be in great agony; I tried to relieve her, and loosed her corset; the old lady was wringing, "He is dead, and I always tired him, and thought a great deal of him;" I thought her "fainting" differing from the others; I then went down stairs; in regard to the smell on Friday night, it was so strong that I perceived it on coming into *Bond-street; it was a smell as of* woollen burning; there was a slight wind coming from the southwest, and the air was hazy.

Q.—Can you state what was the condition of the shutters in the room of Dr. Burdell at the time you first saw it? A.—I examined everything minutely; the shutters were open, as I know; the gas was burning near his instrument case; his port-folio lay open; his overcoat had been taken off, and were laid by the fire place; his shawl was folded up on the end of the sofa; he had his boots on, and his feet were extended straight, so that I think he could not have struggled from the straight position in which he lay; he must have fallen instantly dead, for he lay perfectly straight.

Q.—Who gave the order to the policeman to come here? A.—Myself; I went to the policeman and told him that Dr. Burdell, of No. 31 Bond-street, was dead in his office.

Q.—Did deceased lie with his head quite near the door? A.—Yes, so that when I opened it it just cleared him.

Q.—Where did the chair stand? A.—At the desk, turned round towards the door; a newspaper lay on the centre-table with considerable blood on the edge of it.

Q.—At what time did you get there? A.—I breakfasted at eight o'clock; I was about twenty minutes at breakfast, and had got up the stairs when the door bell was rung; I ran over; I suppose it was half-past eight or a quarter to nine.

Q.—Who came for you? A.—Mrs. Cunningham's little boy—her son; I followed him immediately, with my hat off.

Q.—What did he say to you? A.—He said "Dr. Burdell is dead," and I went with him to see what it was; when I opened the door I almost ran on to the Doctor, he was so close; the first person I saw there was a gentleman who lives next door.

Q.—What reason had you to suppose no one was there before you? A.—None, but that the boy (Burthell) said he opened the door, fell back and ran down to give the alarm; I would state that when the Coroner was with me this morning, I went into the front room looking for a newspaper, to make more fire, and I found the front northwest window down, probably ten inches; I shoved it up.

Q.—How was it with the doors between the Doctor's office and sleeping room? A.—They were not open.

Q.—Did you observe that his clothes were torn? A.—The only tear in his clothes I saw was on the left leg of his pantaloons, below the knee—a three-square piece torn.

Q.—Did you notice any light in the Doctor's front room on Friday night? A.—No, Sir; I have noticed that the Doctor was a very strict man about his habits; I could see his light from my room opposite, and I noticed it regularly towards eleven, from 10½ to 11 o'clock; I used to talk to him across sometimes, and I told him he should be careful, for I could look through his screen.

Q.—Did you notice that the safe was open? A.—It was not open; the only things that were open were his desk and his instrument case; his portfolio was there, and the lead was placed on it.

Q.—Did you notice the basins? A.—There is only one in his room—the little room; and I looked and saw nothing at all in that.

Q.—Did you see Dr. Burdell after he went out about four or half past four o'clock on Friday afternoon? A.—No, it was about half after five, or within five minutes of that time, that I saw him go out; I did not see him come in again; I went to a party that evening; I started about eight and returned about one; there is rarely a light in any part of this house except the front story; he rarely had a light unless when he went to bed, and then he would strike a match and make a light; it was a candle he mostly used.

Juror—Did you notice any blood on the chair which he used for his dental operations? A.—There was none on it; I examined it thoroughly; there was no blood on the spittoon either.

Juror—I refer to another chair—that which was before his desk and was partly turned.

Witness—That was a *sitting chair; there was blood* upon *the left side of that chair; it was no leather* than the other blood I saw; it was all quite fresh—just coagulated a little.

By Mr. Tilden—Q.—Did you observe the blood on the walls outside? A.—I was the first, I think, who called the attention of the policeman to that; the first blood was a little outside, which could not have been spattered on unless the door had been ajar; then there was blood on the side of the stairs descending, on the right; then a little in coming into this floor; after that we could get none except a little on the front door, which was very slight; I wet my hand and rubbed it all over, but could get hardly any.

Q.—What members of the family came into the room while you were there? A.—None at all, I think, until the policemen came.

Q.—But while you remained there? A.—I don't think any came in but the little boy who made the fires; I am not positive whether Snodgrass came or not; am not positive whether I saw him or not—yes, I did see him; I recollect I noticed what look he had on when he looked at the body; it appeared to startle him, as it was an awful sight.

Q.—As to those lights in the three windows of the third floor; did you often see them burn at one time, or not? A.—Most of all I saw the light would be in the old lady's room.

Q.—When you were up late at night would you notice a light in the bedroom? A.—No, Sir; not to *attract any attention.*

The Coroner—Gentlemen, I should rather say that I am inclined to adjourn this inquisition till nine o'clock to-morrow morning. None of the jurors dissenting, the proposal was considered as definitely *agreed to. After some conversation* as to the arrangements for the funeral, which *is to* take place to-day, the jurors withdrew and the crowd broke up.

Surgical Examination of the Body of Dr. Burdell.

A careful examination of the body of the murdered man having been directed, Drs. Jas. R. Wood, George F. Woodward, David Ure, and William Knight, *proceeded to the front room of the second* story, and made such examination. They were assisted by Dr. Dodge, who carefully noted the following particulars, which were afterwards signed by the examiners:

The first wound which presents itself is one inch from the left nipple, on a line and internal to it, and is one inch and an eighth in length. The second wound is two and a-half inches downward and inward from the wound first described, and is seven-eighths of an inch in length. The third wound is one and three-eighths of an inch, directly below the wound last described, and measures seven-eighths of an inch in length. The fourth wound is three inches and an eighth from the nipple, directly downward, and measures one inch in length. The next two wounds present themselves on the left axilla. The first one is two inches and seven-eighths from the left nipple, and is five-eighth of an inch in length. The other wound in the axilla is two inches and a quarter further back from the last, and is half an inch in length.

Another wound on the left side directly above the crest of the ilium is three and a half inches outward from the *anterior, superior, spinous process* of the ilium, and measures one and a quarter inches in length. *On right shoulder*, anteriorly and towards from the acromion process of the clavicle is a wound one inch wide. Looking on the neck, a wound presents itself three-quarters of an inch above the angle of the jaw, and directly beneath and touching the lobe of the left ear and measuring one inch in length, and sufficiently deep to completely divide the external, and partially the internal carotid arteries, about one inch above the bifurcation. On the face a wound presents itself, directly over the malar bone, running obliquely from without inwards and downwards and measuring one and one-half inches in length.

On the neck, posteriorly and laterally on the left side, five inches from the mastoid process directly downwards and backwards, is a wound five-eighths of an inch wide.

On the right wrist is a semi-circular wound, dividing simply the integument and one and a half inches in length.

On the left hand is an abrasion about 1¼ inch long on the dorsum of the hand.

On the left fore-arm on the anterior portion, 2½ inches from the head of the elbow, a wound presents itself three-quarters of an inch in length. Then turning the arm over on the posterior surface, a wound appears 2¾ inches from the olecranon process and 1 [illegible]

[illegible] in width, on the [illegible] the wound above named, partially connected the same [illegible] the fourth rib opposite the first wound above named, was divided. The first rib opposite the wound in the right shoulder was transfixed, and the pleural cavity opened by the same wound. The cut on the left breast of the vest, five inches from the point of the lappel, is one and one-eighth of an inch long. The next cut just below the upper button hole on the same side, is five-eighths of an inch long; the next cut below and without the second button hole on same side, is seven-eighths of an inch long; the next cut one inch above left breast pocket, is one inch long; just above the rib pocket, same side, is a cut seven-eighths of an inch long; at the junction of the sixth and seventh three-quarters of an inch below the arm hole, is a cut one-half inch long. The cuts above described correspond in position with the wounds on the left breast and axilla. The cut on the back of the vest corresponds with the wound on the back of the neck. In the cost of the left sleeve, seven inches from the cuff, on outer side, is a cut one inch long. On inside of sleeve is a cut one half inch long. Of the sleeve, fifteen inches from end of cuff on outer side, is a cut three-fourths inch long; on left side of breast of coat are two cuts corresponding to cuts on vest. On the right shoulder of the coat is a cut one and a half inch from seam of sleeve, and one and an eighth inch long. On back of the coat, two and a half inches from lower edge of collar, is a cut one and a fourth inch long. All the cuts in the coat correspond in position to the wounds on the body. There is, also, a cut in the pants and drawers which corresponds with the wound over the ilium.

JAMES R. WOOD, M. D.
GEO. F. WOODWARD, M. D.
DAVID URE, M. D.
WM. KNIGHT, M. D.

CHEMICAL AND MICROSCOPICAL EXAMINATION OF THE BLOOD-STAINS.

A chemical and microscopical examination of the stains upon certain sheets, towels, shirts, &c., having been ordered by the Coroner, such examinations were entered upon by Professor Doremus, and Child, and Dr. John W. S. Gerdy.

The character of the spots upon the dagger was not so decided and satisfactory as was that of the stains upon the clothes. The stains upon the shirt marked "Ketchum," upon the sheet, and upon the napkin, were pronounced, without hesitation, of menstrual origin. The "epithelial" character, inseparable from that secretion, was clearly demonstrated under the microscope. While on the other hand, the normal and ordinary character of the blood globules was demonstrated in the same way from blood spots on the linen of the deceased. The result of this examination will probably be presented in evidence to-day.

Last-ditch of Evidence.

One of the witnesses put upon the stand yesterday, after a full and frank declaration that he knew nothing whatever about the murder, nor about any of the parties connected with it or involved in suspicions concerning it, was permitted to go on with the most extraordinary series of his own beliefs, conjectures, and suppositions ever heard in a court of justice. He was encouraged in this by one of the jurors, who was greatly preposessed in favor of the witness by mentioning alcohol as "liquid death." After a time, however, the Coroner interfered, and said the evidence had nothing whatever to do with the case.

Snodgrass and Eckel.

These two important witnesses remain in the cells of the Fifteenth Ward Station, in Mercer-street. Snodgrass is a lad of 19 or 19 years of age, the son of Rev. Wm. D. Snodgrass, D. D., Pastor of the Presbyterian Church in Goshen, Orange County. The whole family formerly resided in this City. Gideon, the witness in this case, is the youngest but one of a numerous family. Early last Fall he came to New-York to enter into business, having been persuaded by his friends that the inactive life he had been leading in his father's house in the country was not calculated to improve a young man's prospects. He was taken in as a junior clerk at a wholesale hardware establishment down-town, and was in the employ of the same firm at the time of the catastrophe in Bond-street. While he remained in Goshen, his time was chiefly occupied in consorting with lads of his own age and habits, but he possessed a taste for music, his inclinations leading him particularly to the study of negro melodies, with banjo accompaniments, in which he became proficient. His musical tastes appear, from the evidence adduced in the examination, to have been cultivated with some assiduity during his stay in New-York. A boy of great natural shrewdness, and not deficient in knowledge, Snodgrass became popular among his fellows in the village in which he grew up, but he has never established a reputation for remarkable steadiness of demeanor, or refinement and delicacy of sentiment; in fact, was looked upon by the villagers as a young gentleman whom sharp discipline would benefit. He, like all his family, has been for years on terms of extraordinary intimacy with Mrs. Cunningham and her daughters, and it was generally believed that the younger Miss Cunningham was the lady upon whom Snodgrass has fixed his affections. The lad appears to be extremely uneasy at his confinement, and desirous to know whether he will be permitted to come out on bail. He underwent a very close examination yesterday forenoon by District-Attorney Hall and Judge Russell, but nothing of importance was elicited. The only statement he made that was not elicited on the examination before was that Eckel had called him up into his room, after the murder had been discovered, and told him to answer no questions, but wait and let things take their own course. Snodgrass is a tall, healthy-looking youth, with light brown hair, coarse features, and a light beard. Though he appeared to be anxious about getting out of the station-house, he manifested no nervousness or anything like fear.

Eckel remains in his cell, and readily stands up to be seen, but he is not permitted to have any conversation with visitors. He is rather under the medium height, has a full face, dark gray eyes, and a full jet black beard and moustache. His countenance is not remarkable, though far from being what would be called handsome. He has a habit of whirling his eyes, which may be the result of his being good at a fly stopper. His dress is ordinary, plain black frock coat, dark trousers and vest; and is not in any manner a person to attract attention. There is a full development about the eyebrows, which gives a heavy and oppressive look to his eyes. Eckel is a native of Morristown, New-Jersey, and has only resided at the house in Bond-street since last November.

Mrs. Cunningham's Antecedents.

Mrs. Emma Augusta Cunningham was born at Bedford, in the Ninth Ward of Brooklyn, L. I. Her father was Christopher Hempstead, who was at one time Foreman of James Bogardus, which in the *early days of Brooklyn* extended through from Sandstreet to Tillary; and in the latter part of his life he *resided in Brooklyn, where* we understand he acquired some money in the manufacture of milk for the Brooklyn and New-York markets, in which selling some of his family are now engaged. His reputation was that of an easy, *every-day*, hard-working sort of a man, but said to have been too easy as to the moral training of his family. Emma Augusta Hempstead in her youth was remarkable chiefly for a well-developed, voluptuous form, and more than ordinary power of fascination. She drew within her toils Mr. George D. Cunningham, who finally, some years ago, abandoned the legal profession, (whose maiden name was Fisher,) and connected himself with Miss Hempstead. Mr. Cunningham was a large, robust man, and a fast liver, a free drinker and "a good fellow generally" among his particular associates. Early in his career he was engaged as a distiller on Water-street, Brooklyn, in which business his father and other members of the family had preceded him. The firm at this time was Cunningham & Harris. For some reason or other, they closed business about 1839, since which time, up to his death, Mr. Cunningham was variously engaged. At one time, he was a commission merchant, and at another dealing in liquors—for which business he seemed to have a very strong penchant, until the tide set in for the golden regions of California and he, like many other unsettled persons, wandered to that region, to better his fortune, which, by this time, had become somewhat impaired. Remaining in that part of the world until satisfied, the year 1849 found him again in Brooklyn, residing in Fourth-place, where, his wife having in the meantime died, Miss Hempstead, with her offspring, several in number, (of which he was the reputed father,) again domiciled herself with him. One morning, less than three years ago, Mr. C. was found dead in his bed, having died suddenly, and the family physician announced that he had died in a fit. Shortly after this event, it became known that the life of deceased had been insured for $10,000, which fact, connected with other facts, caused considerable speculation at the time.

With the death of Mr. Cunningham the validity of the claim Miss Emma Hempstead made to his effects was discussed, and she then as now produced a marriage certificate to substantiate such a claim. Many of Mr. C.'s friends had heard him say that he was not married. But others had heard him relate how he was married while intoxicated, and was not aware of the fact until the next day when the evidence of it was placed before his eyes. He, it would seem, did not trouble himself much about it afterwards. Mrs. C., released from her alleged tie by death, and with $10,000 at her command, was lost sight of by the public until Saturday last.

About Dr. Burdell.

Catskill, Tuesday, Feb. 3, 1857.

To the Editor of the New-York Daily Times:

Your remarks in the Daily Times of the 2d are very wrong. In speaking of Dr. Harvey Burdell, you say "he had been married, but his wife obtained [illegible]

[illegible] before his death. A mistake not only in the general but on the wrong side.

[illegible] memory, as well as in his friends.

The case of Dr. H. Burdell is one in which an investigation of the last impressions on the inner eye of the deceased is alleged to be [illegible] trators of the deed.

Dr. Burdell was the few residents living in New-York City. A brother, Lemuel Burdell, from the [illegible] 310 Broadway, died by an attack of paralysis in [illegible] nearly lost his reason. [illegible] two children. He has a half sister, [illegible] the City.

I mention these circumstances merely [illegible] the authorities do not do their duty, in this case, I am afraid it will not be fully investigated.

[illegible]

To the Editor of the New-York Daily Times:

Dear Sir: Several months ago, [illegible] the author of [illegible] published in the *Herald* a method of detecting murders by an examination of the eye of the person murdered. I [illegible] addressed several other letters on the [illegible] same subject, which that paper has [illegible] If Dr. Burdell's murder took place at [illegible] the room was lit by gas, or by daylight [illegible] eye of Dr. Burdell, through the [illegible] needed by me, and [illegible] be seen in the eye—such proof [illegible] documentary evidence. Yours truly, [illegible]

New-York, Feb. 1, 1857. W. S. [illegible]

"The Mysterious Murder in Bond-street."

New-York, Tuesday, Feb. 3, 1857.

To the Editor of the New-York Daily Times:

The jury man's suggestion about the method of the eye was a good one, but the best way to detect the truth is to call on Dr. Burdell's [illegible] get him to name his murderer at once. Make [illegible] ballots shriek from the coroner's [illegible] its results, the public mind will at least [illegible] a most dangerous illusion.

[illegible]

Passengers Arrived.

By steamship Florida, from Charleston, [illegible] St. Bartholomew, Mrs. J. Dunlap, [illegible] lady, M. A. Robinson, [illegible] Lord, J. H. Percival and lady, F. [illegible] him, H. E. Dwight, [illegible] Salmon, lady, son and [illegible] Delce and lady, Mrs. Paxton, Mrs. Waldron, [illegible] Mrs. Spencer, Mrs. A. Barsky and [illegible] M. W. Brown, C. H. Cook, G. J. Harrison, and 40 in the steerage.

By steamship Isabella, from Charleston, [illegible] Wm. David Simson, J. C. Kathes, W. Beatty, [illegible] L. Lamar, J. C. Noble, Maj. Stewart, W. [illegible] [illegible] A. Figotte, C. Bulwinkle, G. G. Dunks, [illegible] Hall, D. G. Mitchell, J. W. Tuttle, J. [illegible] C. H. Alexander, M. Goldsmith, W. H. Harrison, and 25 in the steerage.

In brig Wanderer, from Savannah, Capt. J. G. [illegible] rence, L. Boyden, R. L. Rudd.

In ship Union, from Rio Janeiro, Samuel H. Gaskill.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]